Case 1	:21-cr-00080-AMD	4 Filed 03/21/23 Page 1 of 236 PageID #: 1340	
		176	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
2		x 21-CR-80 (RER)	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	,	
4		United States Courthouse Brooklyn, New York	
5	-against-	March 14, 2023 9:30 a.m.	
6	DOUGLASS MACKEY,	9.30 a.m.	
7	Defendant.		
8			
9	BEFORE THE HOI	MINAL CAUSE FOR JURY SELECTION NORABLE RAMON E. REYES, JR.	
10	UNITED ST APPEARANCES	IATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
11	For the Government:	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	
12		Eastern District of New York 271 Cadman Plaza East	
13		Brooklyn, New York 11201 BY: ERIK DAVID PAULSEN, ESQ.	
14		F. TURNER BUFORD, ESQ and -	
15		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE CRIMINAL 1331 F Street NW - Suite 300	
16		Washington, DC 20004 BY: WILLIAM J. GULLOTTA, ESQ. Assistant United States Attorneys	
17		-	
18	For the Defendant:	LAW OFFICES OF ANDREW J. FRISCH, PLLC 40 Fulton Street - 17th Floor New York, New York 10038	
19		BY: ANDREW JAMES FRISCH, ESQ.	
20	Also Present:	SHIVANI PARSHAD, PARALEGAL SPECIALIST ELISABETH GRANBERG, AGENT	
21		EMILY TANNENBAUM, STUDENT	
22	Court Reporter:	LINDA D. DANELCZYK, RPR, CSR, CCR Phone: 718-613-2330	
23		Fax: 718-804-2712 Email: LindaDan226@gmail.com	
24	Proceedings recorded by r	_	
25	produced by computer-aide	2 <u> </u>	

PROCEEDINGS

1 (In open court; Jury present.)

THE COURT: Okay. All right, so we need to discuss a couple of things.

As I understand you are aware, Judge Garaufis has scheduled a telephone conference at 3:15 today to discuss the issue of the adjournment of the trial and whatever else, as far as the issues with respect to Mr. Hawley are concerned. We will, of course, take a break during the jury selection to let you go speak with him.

I have had conversations with him through his law clerk, and we discussed a couple of things.

First, he is inclined to -- he is contemplating adjourning the trial until March the 20th and setting aside that week and the next week, which is not a big change from the existing schedule, but it is a change, and that would necessitate questioning each of the jurors who have qualified already to see if it presents a problem.

So I intend to bring each of the jurors in who have been qualified already and ask them if that would be a problem, one by one. We'll do that first, first off.

I suspect that with many of them it's not going to be an issue, in fact, with one of them it's probably a better schedule because she had travel plans this weekend and she wanted to be done by 4:00 on Friday. So it's not an issue for her. So that's that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

178

If for whatever reason Judge Garaufis switches things to -- you know, adjourns the trial for a couple of weeks or whatever, I think we lose this panel completely. They'll send everybody home and whenever the trial is we've got to start again from scratch. But vou'll deal with him with that. We have to press forward and try to get as many jurors qualified as we can. I also asked Judge Garaufis if he was amenable to lowering the number of alternates, and he said, yes. asked that we try to get six, but that if we got only four, that would be sufficient. So my goal is today to try to get to 36 qualified jurors, which would be enough for four. And if we do that, then we go with that. I want to avoid, if at all possible, bringing people back tomorrow. Because who knows what's going to happen with the weather. We've got folks coming in from the Island. It's going to be worse out there than it is here in the City. want to avoid the selection tomorrow, if we can. If that means we go down to four alternates, so be it. If we're lucky enough we'll get 40 today, we'll do that, and we'll get the six. Two issues with respect to the panel and how to go

forward. Yes, yes, I guess two issues.

PROCEEDINGS 179 1 First, there are a number of jurors who have spoken 2 to Miriam about issues, prospective jurors who have spoken to 3 Miriam about issues and some have expressed legitimate 4 concerns. One Juror, Number 176, has prepaid travel starting 5 tomorrow. 6 I don't know what the full travel plans are, it may 7 be that, you know, be back by the 20th, who knows. 8 another has child care issues. And most of them are in the 9 high numbers. 10 My thought would be to those who have expressed 11 significant issues that need to leave like by 2:00 today, just 12 dismissing them, and the others hold just on to them until 13 they come up. 14 But this is related to another thing that I'm going 15 to suggest. 16 There are a number of prospective jurors who indicated no issues with anything. They didn't answer or hold 17 18 their numbers up. 19 Is there any objection to bringing them in first to ask the case specific and pedigree questions? And then once 20 that list is exhausted, move on to the people who have 21 22 expressed or raised their number to any number of questions 2.3 that we asked.

I mean there's no magic to this list and order, it's randomly generated. So I don't know that going out of order

24

PROCEEDINGS

180 1 is prejudicial to anyone. And it just seems that if we take 2 care of the people who have no issues first, it will move more 3 quickly and we may get to the requisite number of prospective 4 jurors we need to pick. 5 MR. PAULSEN: Yes, Your Honor, we noticed that up on 6 the next stretch of 30 there's a large number of individuals 7 who didn't flag any issues, so we don't have an objection with 8 proceeding in that way. 9 THE COURT: I count one, two, three, four, five, 10 six, seven, eight, nine -- nine within the next 30 minutes. 11 It's not enough but -- and then after that there are more. 12 I don't think we'll get to the full panel that way, 13 but we'll have a good idea how many more we need after that. 14 Mr. Frisch, do you have a problem with that? MR. FRISCH: I don't know yet. I have to give it a 15 16 second. 17 Can I sit? 18 THE COURT: Yes, you can sit. 19 MR. FRISCH: So let me think about it. Without 20 sharing what I'm thinking, let me have a moment to think about it and talk to folks here before I state a position. 21 22 But while I'm thinking about it, I just want to flag another issue for the Court. 23 24 This morning there's an article online, which I just

saw, which is titled "'Alt-Right' Disinformation King Faces".

## PROCEEDINGS

And I'm not going to get into what I think of the article, whether it's true or not, we're going to have a trial for that.

It's written by the gentleman who's in the audience today and who was here yesterday and is associated, as I understand it, with Mr. O'Brien, who was the subject of a letter that I submitted to the Court last night.

I don't want Your Honor to call attention to the article to the jurors or call attention to anything in the media, but I would ask the Court, which Your Honor will probably do any how in a subtle and, you know, matter of fact, nonchalant way, to remind folks: Don't read any media that you may or may not see about this case, just to reinforce that to the extent somebody might forget that important piece of advice.

With regard to the other issue, I just want to take a second, you know, without burdening the Court, if the Court will permit take five minutes and talk to Mr. Mackey and Ms. Tannenbaum about whether or not there's some impact on the randomness of the selection, if we do one set of jurors before another set of jurors, depending on who may or may not have issues.

I recognize this is not an exact science, this process. And so I always kind of feel the best thing to do is not upset the karma, so to speak. I also understand the

## PROCEEDINGS

182

Court's interest and Judge Garaufis' interesting in getting
this done, especially with the impending possible weather
problems later today. So if I could have just a few minutes
to talk about it quietly among ourselves and think it through,
I'd appreciate it.

THE COURT: Okay, that's fine.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On the first issue you've raised, what I will do with the ten to find out if they have a problem with the change in dates, is I will ask them, Since yesterday, have you heard, read or seen any reports about this case; if so, what did you see? So take care of them.

And for the whole, I guess I could ask that of each of the others that come in and we can find out.

MR. FRISCH: Yes, and again --

THE COURT: An innocuous way of doing it.

MR. FRISCH: Exactly.

THE COURT: Okay, that's not a problem.

All right, why don't you take some time to think and talk. And I'm going to stay here. I'm not going to go away. So don't mind me.

MR. PAULSEN: Your Honor, if I can flag one additional thing.

We were surprised to see the contemplated move to Monday, because it doesn't quite accommodate the defendant's request. But at the same time, we're quite aware we will have

PROCEEDINGS

some scheduling problems with some witnesses, should the trial move in that manner.

Does Your Honor think it makes sense for us to try to reach out to Judge Garaufis sooner than 3:15 to try to get some clarity, or did you gather whether that was truly the earliest?

THE COURT: I think that it makes sense, certainly from my selfish perspective, and the perspective of our panel, if this ain't happening, let's -- let's cut it now.

The problem, though, is that Judge Garaufis is down in Washington at meetings of the Judicial Conference, and 3:00 is the earliest that he's out of his meetings.

I mean you can -- I don't know if he is the chair of whatever committee he's meeting with or a member, but I would suspect it's going to be difficult to get anything before then. He's a very prompt person, but he's also very busy.

MR. PAULSEN: I have to say, given the quantity of orders he's issued, I'm stunned that he's not here.

THE COURT: He's a good remote worker.

MR. PAULSEN: While Mr. Frisch is reaching out, and while we have a few minutes, I may just reach out to chambers and let them know that there may be a problem, and so if there is any opportunity to meet earlier, I'll just let them know that would be our wish, but with the expectation that 3 may be the earliest.

## PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: All right, why don't you do that. And just understand something. That if it is -- if it is put off two weeks, it's going to be very difficult to use this panel.

MR. PAULSEN: Understood.

THE COURT: And it will be very difficult to get another panel for two weeks from now, unless sufficient number of jurors have already been sent out notices for selections on other cases and those cases are no longer alive. So it may be that you need to put it off four weeks or six weeks.

MR. PAULSEN: I understand, Your Honor. I mean, our hope would be we can keep Thursday because of our specific scheduling issues, but I will address that with the Court.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. PAULSEN: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. FRISCH: And one last thing, just so I understand. The proposal is that we start, apart from the 10 or 12 who we saw yesterday, the idea is to talk first to jurors who have not flagged an issue, see where we are at the end of that process, and if necessary then go to those jurors who have flagged an issue; is that the --

THE COURT: That's the proposal, right.

I just thought that that would expedite things, or move things along a little more quickly.

MR. FRISCH: Is it okay if we step out into the hall and talk about it?

ase 1.	21-CI-00080-AIVID DOCUMENT 104 FILED 03/21/23 Page 10 01 230 Page ID #. 1349
	PROCEEDINGS 185
1	THE COURT: Absolutely. Absolutely.
2	(A recess was taken at 10:04 a.m.)
3	THE COURT: Ready?
4	MR. FRISCH: Thank you for allowing us to take a few
5	minutes.
6	Here are my thoughts. As much as I appreciate the
7	Court's interest in efficiency and alacrity, I don't think
8	it's a good idea.
9	I think the distinction, based on the information we
10	have, is somewhat meaningless. We don't know what's on the
11	minds of these jurors, who did or did not have issues or say
12	they had issues.
13	There are so many variables in what the issue could
14	be. It could be nothing. It could be something. It could be
15	that people who think they do not have issues actually have
16	issues. And there's just too many variables.
17	Even standing out in the hall, completely to think
18	it through and know what we might be getting ourselves into
19	which proceeding that in way. I also don't know that it would
20	be that great a savings of time, because this is inherently a
21	cumbersome and time-consuming process.
22	So even if we save time, and I'm not sure that we
23	ultimately would, I'm not sure we're saving that much time to
24	make it worth the while.
25	As I said before, you know, having done this a few

PROCEEDINGS

times before, Your Honor obviously has as well, this is not a science, but I'm really reluctant to upset the karma.

If this is the order we have, I don't want to mess with it. And I certainly don't want to mess with it based on what it seems to me, given the state of our knowledge right now, kind of an arbitrary variable. We really don't know.

And so my gut tells me that we should -- two things, and then I'll stop. Thank you for letting me ramble on. That we should stick with the order, number one. And number two, I think it's a black box and I think we shouldn't go there.

MR. PAULSEN: Your Honor, we're fine with that. I think it's probably best for us just to get started.

THE COURT: Okay, I think you're right.

All right, we'll stick with the order. And we'll stick with the March 20th through the 31st? I think that's what Judge Garaufis' law clerk said that --

MR. PAULSEN: Your Honor, just given that the government will, at 3:15, I think, ask that we keep the trial as scheduled, which we just would appreciate if you can make clear that it could still start on Thursday, because that's something at least we're at least going to ask for.

THE COURT: Okay.

All right why don't you bring in Ms. Marcus, juror Number 1.

MR. PAULSEN: And, Your Honor, for the purposes of

## JURY SELECTION

today's voir dire, Mr. Gullotta is going to speak for the government.

3 THE COURT: Okay.

(Prospective juror enters the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Good morning, Ms. Marcus.

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Good morning.

THE COURT: Yesterday you expressed some concerns about scheduling in the ceremonial courtroom, and I wanted to speak with you with the parties about a potential development in the case.

Judge Garaufis is contemplating changing the trial from starting on this Thursday to starting on Monday the 20th and going until no later than Friday, the 31st, I believe it is. So it will be no more than a two-week trial starting on the 20th.

If that were to happen, it would take care of the concern that you raised with me about your travel plans this Friday.

But would it present any additional problems for you other than -- other than your required hours for your internship and your work, the childcare work issue that you had?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, I mean it would pose hardship on the child that I care for because his parents need to go to work and it would be hard. He's not even two yet and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JURY SELECTION

188

they would have to find someone who is not much notice and I am their -- the child's like second caregiver when the parents go to work. So it's kind of a hardship on them to not have me here these days. I'm usually with him Mondays, Tuesdays and Wednesdays. THE COURT: Okay. Other than that, no? No issues? THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, if I also had to miss like -- I know you're saying it would be like --THE COURT: Two Thursdays and Fridays, potentially. More likely just one, though --THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, that will be --THE COURT: -- when do you your internship hours. THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It would be 36 hours that I would be missing, and I'd have to -- I'm hoping to start grad school in like the end of August, and I have to meet August graduation. And if I don't have my hours, I can't go to graduation in August and I don't get my degree and can't start grad school in like five days later. I have to -- need these hours, the 36 hours is a lot of hours to miss. THE COURT: I understand. I get it. Okay, thank you. (Prospective juror exits the courtroom.) THE COURT: If you could bring in Mr. Guidetti, Juror Number 3.

MR. FRISCH: Your Honor, before the juror walks in,

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.

THE COURT: But possibly.

24

this jury, the evidence comes in at trial.

Judge Garaufis is considering adjusting the trial dates and moving the trial to begin on Monday, March 20th, and conclude no later than Friday, March 31st.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If he were to move the trial to those dates, would that present a significant hardship for you?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so. So far, when I think back, I don't have any scheduled for those days. Because you guys don't do anything on Saturdays and Sundays,

Case 1:	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 21 of 236 PageID #: 1360
	JURY SELECTION 196
1	just Monday through Friday?
2	THE COURT: Just Monday through Friday.
3	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think I have any
4	plans that have to be moved. But if something would come up,
5	I would have to let you all know.
6	THE COURT: Yes, before you are selected.
7	So if you certainly if you're selected to serve
8	on this jury, you can't schedule something for that period of
9	time. They don't accommodate breaks like that to allow one
10	juror to be absent at any given point.
11	So we're going to keep you in this pool for now.
12	I'll leave the door completely open to you, but something
13	if you remember, oh, yeah, I have plane tickets on, you know,
14	Thursday, I guess that would be the 30th, then you got to let
15	us know about that.
16	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.
17	THE COURT: All right. But you got to do like
18	sooner rather than later. Don't go and get tickets, because
19	we won't be happy with that. All right?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Any questions that the lawyers suggest for further questioning about Ms. Gopal's viewing a, or at least having an article come up on her feed?

MR. GULLOTTA: Yes, I guess, Your Honor, just to ask if there's anything about having seen that article that

JURY SELECTION 198 1 Judge Garaufis is considering adjusting THE COURT: 2 the trial dates in the case. So the trial would start on 3 Monday, March 20th, and last until Friday, March 31st, at the 4 very latest, but more likely earlier that week. 5 If he were to adjust the trial accordingly, would 6 that present a significant problem for you? 7 Do you have any prepaid travel plans, anything 8 beyond what you already told us? 9 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, with work I would just 10 have to let them know. But they're aware. They're already 11 aware that I'm involved in this process, but I would just have 12 to inform them of the potential duration. 13 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. McDermott. 14 (Prospective juror exits the courtroom.) 15 (Prospective juror enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: Valery Rodolico, Juror Number 22. Good 16 17 morning. 18 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Good morning. 19 THE COURT: Since yesterday, have you seen, heard or 20 read anything about this case in the media? 21 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 22 THE COURT: Judge Garaufis is considering adjusting 23 the trial schedule. So the trial would start on Monday, 24 March 20th, and conclude no later than Friday, March 31st.

If he were to adjust the trial to those dates, would

1 to come in, if I was selected?

THE COURT: Yes.

3 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.

4 THE COURT: I only hesitate because -- I can almost

5 guarantee, yes. There would be nothing on Thursday and

Friday. But he may not move the trial. That's still being

7 discussed.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If he does move the trial, he won't be coming in Thursday or Friday. This jury selection is going to be over hopefully today, if not tomorrow.

So, yeah, you'd have your Thursday and Friday free.

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, the reason I say that is because I've got a landscape architect coming over Thursday morning at 9. And even if it's just to being introduced, my partner can take care of everything else, and I can be here by 9:30 is okay, but I'd rather have more time. And Friday I've a dentist appointment that can be easily be moved, if I need to; if I don't need to.

THE COURT: Yes, I think you did tell us about the landscape architecture. Yes, architect, yesterday.

All right. That will be great.

All right. I'll send you back into the other room and we're going to talk to some of your colleagues.

All right? Thank you.

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.

Case 1.	JURY SELECTION 201
1	(Prospective juror exits the courtroom.)
2	MR. FRISCH: May I, Judge?
3	THE COURT: Yes.
4	MR. FRISCH: With regard to Juror Number 1, in light
5	of the positive answer regarding media with Juror Number 14, I
6	would ask that she be called back in to be asked that
7	question.
8	And second, because of what she discussed, Juror
9	Number 1, about her schedule and what appeared her anxiety
10	about being away from her commitments, I'd challenge her for
11	cause.
12	MR. GULLOTTA: I don't think that she's expressed a
13	sufficient amount of anxiety to be challenged for cause. I
14	think she's concerned about it, but she said she didn't ask
15	to be released or anything like that, I think she's still
16	qualified juror.
17	THE COURT: I'm going to hold that one in the
18	hopper, Mr. Frisch, and not make a ruling at this point.
19	Gennady Rebinin, Juror Number 24, held up his number
20	for Questions 12 and 19.
21	MR. PAULSEN: Your Honor, I did not call, we
22	discussed internally, I wanted to be sure that we had witness
23	unavailability, I didn't want to speak out of turn, so we're
24	trying to figure that out. So hopefully I'll know more and
25	I'll reach out to chambers.

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: We were the jury saying,

Case 1	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 28 of 236 PageI	) #: <b>13</b> 67
	JURY SELECTION	203
1	yes, guilty or no. Something like this.	
2	(Continued on the following page.)	
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

CMH OCR RDR FCRR

case 1:	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 29 of 236 PageID #: 1368
	JURY SELECTION 204
1	THE COURT: It sounds like there were several cases
2	that you heard.
3	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, several cases. The
4	deputy, attorney deputy.
5	THE COURT: The Assistant District Attorney
6	presented cases to you?
7	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Presented cases, yes.
8	THE COURT: That sounds like a grand jury where you
9	were asked to determine whether an indictment should be issued
10	and charges should be brought against
11	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
12	THE COURT: someone. And is that the only time
13	you served on a jury?
14	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Only time.
15	THE COURT: Okay. And I take it you did issue some
16	indictments?
17	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't remember. Probably.
18	THE COURT: You also indicated that you or a family
19	member or close friend has a relationship or friendship with a
20	law enforcement officer?
21	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's right. Yes, my son
22	serve in New York Police Department.
23	THE COURT: How long has he been on the NYPD?
24	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: About 20 years or something
25	like that.

	JURY SELECTION 205
1	THE COURT: Would that affect your ability to be
2	fair and impartial in this case?
3	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so.
4	THE COURT: The trial since yesterday,
5	Mr. Rebinin, have you seen, heard or read anything in the
6	media about this case?
7	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
8	THE COURT: Judge Garaufis has currently, the
9	trial is scheduled to start on Thursday and last until the
10	28th of March, but Judge Garaufis is considering adjusting the
11	trial schedule so that it would start on Monday the 20th and
12	last until Friday, March 31st at the very latest.
13	Assuming let's take the whole period. If you
14	would have to serve on a jury from this Thursday, March 16th,
15	until March 31st, would that present a significant hardship
16	for you?
17	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
18	THE COURT: Have you, a family member or close
19	friend ever been charged with a crime, convicted of a crime or
20	been the subject of a criminal investigation?
21	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
22	THE COURT: Have you or any member of your family or
23	close friends ever been a witness to a crime?
24	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
25	THE COURT: Have you, a family member or close

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JURY SELECTION 206 friend ever been a witness in a grand jury investigation or been questioned in any matter by law enforcement officers? THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THE COURT: Have you or any member of your family or close friends been the victim of a crime? THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: Have you, a family member or close friend ever been a party to a legal action or dispute against the United States or any of its agencies or employees? THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THE COURT: This case has received some media attention. Have you ever read, heard or seen anything in the media about this case or about the defendant Douglass Mackey a/k/a Ricky Vaughn? THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THE COURT: This case involves various individuals including the defendant Douglass Mackey who had strong political preferences during the 2016 Presidential election campaign including individuals who supported President Donald

Trump and individuals who supported Presidential candidate Hillary Clinton.

Do you have strong feelings or opinions related to the 2016 Presidential Election Campaign or to the two candidates during that campaign such that it would overcome your duty to judge the facts in this case fairly and

Case 1:	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 32 of 236 PageID #: 1371		
	JURY SELECTION 207		
1	impartially?		
2	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so, no.		
3	THE COURT: Do you have any experience with what you		
4	perceived to be deliberately false or misleading information		
5	on the internet or social media?		
6	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.		
7	THE COURT: At trial, you may hear some language		
8	that you may find to be offensive. You should not assess that		
9	evidence based on whether or not it is offensive but, rather,		
10	on whether that evidence tends to prove or disprove the		
11	elements of the crime.		
12	If you found some evidence to be offensive, would		
13	you be able to set aside your feelings and objectively hear		
14	and consider the evidence presented and follow the Court's		
15	instructions on the law?		
16	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.		
17	THE COURT: Mr. Rebinin, you live in Brooklyn?		
18	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.		
19	THE COURT: How long?		
20	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Twenty, 25 years.		
21	THE COURT: Do you own or do you rent your home?		
22	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Rent, rent.		

24

25

THE COURT: Do you live with anyone?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, with my wife.

THE COURT: What does your wife do for a living?

OCR CMHRDR FCRR

Case 1:	21-cr-00080-AMD	Document 104	Filed 03/2	1/23	Page 33 of 236 PageID #: 1372
		J	JRY SELE(	CTION	208
1	THE	PROSPECTIVE	JUROR:	She'	s retired.
2	THE	COURT: What	did she	e do	when she was working?
3	THE	PROSPECTIVE	JUROR:	She	was a sonograph tech,
4	ultrasound ted	ch.			
5	THE	COURT: Than	nk you.	And	do you have any
6	children?				
7	THE	PROSPECTIVE	JUROR:	Yes.	
8	THE	COURT: Do t	they live	e wit	ch you?
9	THE	PROSPECTIVE	JUROR:	No.	
10	THE	COURT: They	y're grow	vn?	
11	THE	PROSPECTIVE	JUROR:	Grov	vn.
12	THE	COURT: Okay	y. What	do 7	your children do for a
13	living?				
14	THE	PROSPECTIVE	JUROR:	As I	I said, my son is working
15	for				
16	THE	COURT: You	already	tolo	d us, yes.
17	THE	PROSPECTIVE	JUROR:	And	daughter, she's studying
18	at Binghamton	College.			
19	THE	COURT: Are	you wor	king:	
20	THE	PROSPECTIVE	JUROR:	Yes.	
21	THE	COURT: What	do you	do f	for a living?
22	THE	PROSPECTIVE	JUROR:	I ha	ave a small business,
23	mechanical, au	ıto business.			
24	THE	COURT: What	is the	high	nest level of education
25	you completed?	?			

22 THE COURT: So you don't use the internet or social

23 media much?

25

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Very, very little.

THE COURT: Do you have a Facebook account or a

Case 1:	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 35 of 236 PageID #: 1374
	JURY SELECTION 210
1	Twitter account or an Instagram or anything like that?
2	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, neither of them.
3	THE COURT: Have you are you concerned at all
4	about the reliability of information that you find on the
5	internet and social media?
6	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Concern? Sorry, I missed
7	it.
8	THE COURT: Yes. Are you concerned at all about the
9	reliability of information that you may see on social media or
10	the internet?
11	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, not much.
12	THE COURT: Have you ever been involved in voter
13	education or voter registration or any Get Out the Vote
14	efforts?
15	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
16	THE COURT: Do you have any hobbies?
17	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sport.
18	THE COURT: Are there any non-news TV shows or radio
19	programs that you regularly watch or listen to?
20	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I like movies, I watch
21	movies. Shows, no, I'm not doing much shows.

THE COURT: Is there any reason why you cannot be fair and impartial in this case? THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: Is there anything about this case that

22

23

24

JURY SELECTION 211
would cause you to favor one side over the other?
THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
THE COURT: Will you be able to set aside any
sympathies or biases you may have for any of the parties in
this case and render an impartial verdict based solely on the
evidence presented in court and the instructions on the law
that the judge gives you?
THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
THE COURT: Any additional questions for
Mr. Rebinin?
MR. GULLOTTA: Not from the government, no.
MR. FRISCH: Not from Mr. Mackey, no, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Rebinin, if you would, if you
have your juror number with you, you can take it back and
they're going to take you into another courtroom. Thank you.
(Prospective juror leaves.)
THE COURT: Our next juror, Jackie Mak, Number 25,
appears not to have raised his card.
(Prospective juror enters.)
THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Mak.
THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Hi.
THE COURT: If I'm not mistaken, Mr. Mak, you did
THE COURT: If I'm not mistaken, Mr. Mak, you did

somewhat unclear right now. Judge Garaufis is considering
moving it to a little bit later to start perhaps on the 20th
of March which is Monday and conclude on the 31st of March,
Friday, at the very latest. Originally, it was supposed to
start on the 17th, this Thursday, and go until the 28th.
Let's assume that whole period, the 17th of March
through the 31st at the very latest, if the trial were to be
held sometime within there, would that present a significant
hardship for you?
THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
THE COURT: Tell me why.
THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It would put me away from
work and I need to work to pay my bills.
THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm a freelancer so I do
photography but right now, I'm contracted with a company,
Stetson Worldwide. I'm contracted as an archivist and I work
Monday to Fridays and that's mainly what helps pay my bills.
THE COURT: Where do you live? You live in
Brooklyn?
THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I'm in Brooklyn.
THE COURT: Do you live with anyone else?
THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I live with my parents.
THE COURT: What do they do for a living?
THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My dad is retired and my

THE COURT: Do you have a Facebook account?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not active.

THE COURT: All right. How often are you on

Instagram and Twitter?

CMH OCR RDR FCRR

Case 1:	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 39 of 236 PageID #: 1378
	JURY SELECTION 214
1	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I guess every day, more so
2	Instagram since that's how I show my work.
3	THE COURT: Are you concerned about the reliability
4	of the information that you find on the internet and social
5	media?
6	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm sorry. Can you repeat
7	that?
8	THE COURT: Yes. Are you concerned about the
9	reliability of information that you find on the internet and
10	social media?
11	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: How so? What do you mean by
12	reliability?
13	THE COURT: Concern that it's not accurate
14	information, it's false, it's misleading, things like that.
15	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I know some of the
16	stuff is false but you just kind of have to filter is out on
17	your own.
18	THE COURT: So it doesn't really concern you much?
19	I'm not saying that it should. I'm just asking.
20	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I guess not. I mean, yes,
21	it's bad but

THE COURT: But you learn how to filter it out, the false stuff?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Fair enough. Have you ever been

Case 1:	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 40 of 236 PageID #: 1379
	JURY SELECTION 215
1	involved in voter education or voter registration or Get Out
2	the Vote efforts?
3	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Get Out the Vote efforts?
4	THE COURT: Yes, like picking people up to take them
5	to vote, registering people to vote. There are groups and
6	organizations that do that and they're usually volunteer,
7	those types of efforts. No?
8	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm not familiar with it.
9	THE COURT: Do you have any hobbies other than
10	photography maybe?
11	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Photography, videography,
12	basketball.
13	THE COURT: Okay. What television shows or radio
14	programs, if any, do you regularly watch or listen to?
15	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I like to watch Knicks
16	games.
17	THE COURT: Did you see the game against the Lakers
18	they had recently?
19	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I did.
20	THE COURT: Good game, right?
0.1	MILE DOCCDECUIVE TUDOD. A

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: A very close game.

THE COURT: Let me ask you a couple more questions.

Have you, a family member or close friend ever been charged with a crime, convicted of a crime or been the subject

of a criminal investigation?

Case 1:	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 41 of 236 PageID #: 1380
	JURY SELECTION 216
1	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
2	THE COURT: Have you or any member of your family or
3	close friends ever been a witness to a crime?
4	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
5	THE COURT: Have you, a family member or close
6	friend ever been a witness in a grand jury investigation or
7	been questioned in any matter by law enforcement officers?
8	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
9	THE COURT: Have you or any member of your family or
10	close friends been the victim of a crime?
11	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
12	THE COURT: Have you, a family member or close
13	friend ever been a party to a legal action or had a dispute
14	against the United States or any of its agencies or employees?
15	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
16	THE COURT: This case has received some degree of
17	media attention. Have you read, heard or seen anything in the
18	media about this case or about the defendant Douglass Mackey,
19	a/k/a Ricky Vaughn?
20	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
21	THE COURT: This case involves individuals including
0.0	

THE COURT: This case involves individuals including the defendant, Douglass Mackey, who had strong political preferences during the 2016 Presidential Election Campaign, including individuals who supported President Donald Trump and individuals who supported Presidential candidate Hillary

22

23

24

## JURY SELECTION

1 Clinton.

Do you have strong feelings or opinions related to the 2016 Presidential Election Campaign or to the two candidates during that campaign such that it would overcome your duty to judge the facts in this case impartially and fairly?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't really care about that campaign. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any experience with what you perceived to be deliberately false or misleading information on the internet or on social media?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sorry. Could you repeat that?

THE COURT: Sure. Do you have any experience with what you perceived to be deliberately false or misleading information on the internet or social media?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I wouldn't say any experience.

THE COURT: Okay. At trial, you may hear some language that you may find to be offensive. You shouldn't assess that evidence based on whether you find it to be offensive or not but, rather, on whether that evidence tends to prove or disprove the elements of the crime.

If you found some of the evidence offensive, would you be able to set aside your feelings about it and

*FCRR* 

JURY	SELECTION	218

objectively hear and consider the evidence and follow the court's instructions on the law?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If I found it offensive?

THE COURT: Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm not sure. I don't know how to answer that.

THE COURT: If you found particular words in the evidence that were offensive words, would you be able to put aside the fact that it's offensive and objectively look at it and say, okay, does this evidence prove or disprove the elements of this crime which is what the jurors are sworn to do? They're sworn to hear the evidence, consider it objectively and see if the evidence establishes the elements of the crime and overcomes the presumption of innocence.

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I've never been put in that scenario so I'm not sure how I would answer that. Sorry.

THE COURT: That's okay. Do you -- any followup for Mr. Mak?

MR. GULLOTTA: Nothing from the government.

MR. FRISCH: Can I say it from here? It's not going to be controversial.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. FRISCH: I'm just concerned about his work schedule and his being away from his freelance work and his ability to pay his bills. That's the only thing I would

THE COURT: Okay. All right. If you were serving on this jury, are you going to be preoccupied about thinking about the money that you're losing each hour that you're

24

JURY SELECTION 220 1 sitting on the jury? 2 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Pretty much. 3 THE COURT: All right. Okay. I'll excuse you from 4 serving on the jury, Mr. Mak. You can go to the Central Jury 5 Room and they'll give you further instructions. 6 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you. 7 (Prospective juror excused.) THE COURT: McKinley J. Seyda, Juror Number 26, only 8 9 answered affirmatively to question number 12. 10 (Prospective juror enters.) 11 THE COURT: Mr. Seyda? 12 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: How are you? 13 THE COURT: Good morning. Did I pronounce that 14 correctly? 15 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, you did. 16 THE COURT: You indicated that you served on a jury 17 before? 18 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Can you tell me what it was about, where 20 it was? 21 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was in Supreme Court. 22 was a medical malpractice lawsuit, a case of a patient against 2.3 a doctor. 24 THE COURT: Did you reach a verdict? 25 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: We reached a verdict of

THE COURT: Have you, a member of your family or close friends ever been the victim of a crime?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

23

24

1 THE COURT: Have you, a member of your family or 2 close friends ever been a party to a legal action or had a 3 dispute against the United States or any of its agencies or 4 employees? 5 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 6 THE COURT: I already asked you this but I'll ask 7 again because it's on my list of questions. Have you ever 8 read anything or seen anything or heard anything about this 9 case before? 10 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 11 THE COURT: Okay. This case involves individuals 12 including the defendant, Douglass Mackey, who had strong 13 political preferences during the 2016 Presidential election 14 campaign including individuals who supported President Donald 15 Trump and individuals who supported Presidential candidate 16 Hillary Clinton. 17 Do you have strong feelings or opinions related to 18 the 2016 Presidential election campaign or to the two 19 candidates during that campaign such that it would overcome 20 your duty to judge this case fairly and impartially? 21 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so, no. 22 THE COURT: Do you have any experience with what you 23 perceive to be deliberately false or misleading information on 24 the internet or social media? 25 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Can you, can you repeat that

JURY SELECTION			
	TIID (1		$\neg m + \neg i$
	ILLIKY	→ H. I. H.	

1 or rephrase it?

2 THE COURT: A lot of people ask for that question to 3 be rephrased. It's kind of long.

Do you have any experience with what you perceived to be deliberately false or misleading information on the internet or social media?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I guess, yes, those things you see on Facebook and Twitter that in my mind could be deliberately misleading, but that's my mind and not whether they are or not.

THE COURT: Would you be able to or would your experiences seeing such information affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so.

THE COURT: At trial, you may hear some language that you may find to be offensive. You shouldn't assess that based on whether you find it to be offensive or not. You should assess the evidence to find if it proves or disproves the elements of the crime.

If you found some evidence to be offensive, would you be able to set aside your feelings about it and objectively hear and consider and follow the Court's instructions on the law?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think so.

THE COURT: You live in Bay Shore?

СМН

RDR

	JURY SELECTION 224
1	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
2	THE COURT: How long have you lived there?
3	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: In that house, 17 years.
4	THE COURT: Prior to that, did you live someplace
5	else in Bay Shore?
6	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I lived in Commack, but I've
7	lived in the general area for 35 years.
8	THE COURT: Do you rent or do you own your home?
9	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I own.
10	THE COURT: Do you live with anyone?
11	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My wife and four kids.
12	THE COURT: How old are your kids?
13	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Fourteen, 13, 12 and 10.
14	THE COURT: What does your wife do for a living?
15	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Teacher's assistant.
16	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
17	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm a manager of a building
18	supply company.
19	THE COURT: What's the highest level of education
20	you received or you completed?
21	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, I completed my
22	Associate's degree at Suffolk Community College. I also have
23	two years at Stony Brook University toward a degree there.
24	THE COURT: Have you ever served in the military?
25	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: Where do you get your news from,
newspapers, radio, television, internet, social media,
podcasts or other sources?
THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Social media sometimes but I
try to avoid the news how it's been lately. It's so jaded
lately. There's no middle ground for news. So local news and
my wife and kids.
THE COURT: On those rare occasions that you do seek
out news from the TV, radio or anything, are there any
particular channels or stations that you go to?
THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Channel 12 News is our local
station so mostly there so I can see the current times.
Sometimes Twitter because I can kind of pick and choose what
you want to listen to or see so you don't have to see or hear
everything.
THE COURT: Other than Twitter, are there any social
media platforms that you frequent?
THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Facebook and Instagram to
share stuff about my family and kids and their life so those
two.
THE COURT: What is your frequency of social media
use, daily, weekly, monthly?
THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'd say daily.
THE COURT: Are you concerned at all about the
reliability of information that you find on the internet and

	JURY SELECTION 226
1	social media?
2	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. Yes. I mean you
3	can't, I don't think you can trust everything you see on it
4	because like I say, things lean one way or the other.
5	THE COURT: This case involves allegations of
6	distributing false and misleading information on social media
7	and the internet. Given your feelings, your concern about the
8	reliability of information that you find on those, on those
9	sources and internet and social media, can you be fair and
10	impartial in this case?
11	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think so.
12	THE COURT: Have you ever been involved in voter
13	education or registration or any Get Out the Vote efforts?
14	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
15	THE COURT: Do you have any hobbies?
16	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sports, camping and hiking,
17	kayaking.
18	THE COURT: Other than or maybe in addition to news
19	programs, are there any television shows or radio programs
20	that you regularly watch or listen to?
21	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: ESPN, the basic regular
22	sports morning shows. Other than that, "American Idol" with
23	the kids but with four kids and a job, it's tough to watch any
24	shows now. I used to watch a lot more before the four kids.
25	THE COURT: Is there any reason why you cannot be

Case 1:	21-cr-00080-AMD
	JURY SELECTION 227
1	fair and impartial in this case?
2	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so. I think I
3	can be fair.
4	THE COURT: Is there anything about this case that
5	would cause you to favor one side over the other?
6	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't see any.
7	THE COURT: Will you be able to set aside any
8	sympathies or biases you may have for any of the parties in
9	this case and render an impartial verdict based solely on the
10	evidence presented in court and the law as given to you by
11	Judge Garaufis?
12	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think so.
13	THE COURT: Any followup questions for Mr. Seyda?
14	MR. GULLOTTA: Not from the government. Thank you.
15	MR. FRISCH: No, Judge. Thank you.
16	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Seyda, they are going to
17	escort you into another courtroom and you'll wait
18	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.
19	THE COURT: at least for the lunch break because
20	we're going to go after lunch.
21	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That is quite all right.
22	It's an hour and a half to get here so I'm here now.
23	THE COURT: Thank you.
24	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you.
25	(Prospective juror exits.)

Case 1:	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 53 of 236 PageID	#: 1392
	JURY SELECTION	228
1	MR. FRISCH: Judge, can we take a really quich	Σ
2	bathroom break?	
3	THE COURT: Sure. Just whenever you need it,	let me
4	know.	
5	MR. FRISCH: Okay. Thank you, Judge.	
6	(Recess taken.)	
7	(Continued on next page.)	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

CMH OCR RDR FCRR

JURY SELECTION

229

1 THE COURT: My count is 12 qualified.

(Prospective juror enters.)

3 THE COURT: Good morning. Alyssa Miller, Juror No.

4 27, welcome. Ms. Miller, if I am not mistaken, you say you

have close friends or relatives who are lawyers, work for

6 lawyers or work for a judge or in a courthouse and you or a

family member, close friend worked for or applied for

8 employment in law enforcement?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: Can you tell me about both of those

11 things, please?

2

5

7

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, of course. I was in a relationship with someone in law enforcement. I have an uncle who is retired now but he was in law enforcement and about three close friends in NYPD. I have a close friend who is a lawyer and I have a close friend whose father is a lawyer who I see regularly.

THE COURT: A couple of follow up things with that. You may have learned through your relationships with these people a little bit or a lot a bit about the law, maybe, and that's okay, but in this case you have to apply the law that the judge gives to you at the end of the case. He'll tell you what the legal standards are that apply to this case and you have to apply that whether you agree with it or not, whether you've learned something different from someone else. The

1 question is, can you could that; can you take the law from 2 Judge Garaufis and apply it to the facts that you find as a 3 juror? 4 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would find it hard not to side with -- since my relationship with --5 6 THE COURT: That is the second -- that's the second 7 question. If Judge Garaufis tells you the law is X, can you 8 apply X even if you learned from your friend that he thinks the law is different? 9 10 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 11 THE COURT: So you would go with what your friend 12 said and not with what Judge Garaufis said? 13 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I find it hard not to listen 14 to my friend, so -- I'm not confident that I wouldn't be able 15 to. 16 THE COURT: The second question I think you started 17 to answer is, given your relationships with these people who 18 may be in law enforcement, can you be fair and impartial in 19 this case? 20 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so, no. 21 THE COURT: Okay, Ms. Miller, I will excuse you. 22 You can go to the Central Jury Room and they'll give you more 23 instructions. Thank you. 24 (Prospective juror exits.) 25 (Prospective juror enters.)

1 THE COURT: Good morning, Julia Klepadlo, Juror No. 2 28. Good morning. Ms. Klepadlo, you indicated that you have 3 prepaid vacation plans or confirmed medical appointments over the next two weeks? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 6 THE COURT: Can you tell me about that, please? 7 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: On Thursday I'm leaving to go to Montana. I can give you a copy of my tickets. 8 9 THE COURT: When? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Until this Sunday. 11 THE COURT: So you'll be back Sun? 12 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. 13 THE COURT: The trial -- I told you before will the 14 trial was going to start on the 17th and go to the 28th. 15 Judge Garaufis is considering adjusting the trial schedule to 16 start on the 20th and go to the 31st at the latest, but most 17 likely earlier that week. If that were the schedule that 18 would not conflict with your travel plans. 19 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 20 THE COURT: Would it -- other than the travel plans, 21 does the trial schedule present a genuine hardship for you, 22 any other reason? 23 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 24 THE COURT: Are you going skiing? 25 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

1	THE COURT: You indicated also that you have taken,
2	you either have a background in or have taken courses in the
3	law. Can you tell me about that?
4	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I've only taken business law
5	because I'm a business major so that's the only background
6	that I have, yeah.
7	THE COURT: Whenever someone indicates that they
8	have some background in the law I ask a couple of questions.
9	One of them is probably not pertinent to you because your
10	background is not in the criminal law and this case is about
11	criminal law, but you may have learned something about the law
12	that and that's great, but you have to judge this case
13	based on the law that's given to you by Judge Garaufis and if
14	the law that he gives you conflicts with something you may
15	have learned you have to apply his law and not what you
16	learned in a class or from a friend or in your research. Can
17	you apply the law that Judge Garaufis gives you?
18	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think that my previous
19	knowledge might contradict with that.
20	THE COURT: Let's say it does. Can you put aside
21	your previous knowledge and apply the law that he gives you
22	because he is the judge, he is the one who is the arbiter of
23	what the applicable law is in this case?
24	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: Can you do that?

Case 1:	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 58 of 236 PageID #: 1397
	JURY SELECTION 233
1	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
2	THE COURT: And you indicated that you have also
3	close friends and relatives who are lawyers or worked for
4	lawyers or worked for a judge or in a courthouse. Tell me
5	about that.
6	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: One of my close friends is a
7	paralegal, so.
8	THE COURT: Do you know what firm or for whom she
9	works, or he?
10	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know exactly, I just
11	know it's a smaller firm in lower Manhattan.
12	THE COURT: Do you know what type of law they
13	practice?
14	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Injury, I'm not too sure but
15	I think it's injury.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Personal injury. Other than that
17	person, anyone else?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
19	THE COURT: You indicated also that you a family
20	member, a close friend has or relationships or close
21	friendships with federal or local law enforcement officers.
22	Can you tell me a little bit about that?
23	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have a couple of family
24	members in NYPD.
25	THE COURT: Currently?

Case 1.	JURY SELECTION 234
1	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
2	THE COURT: Are you close with them.
3	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, fairly close.
4	THE COURT: Your relationships with these family
5	members who are in the NYPD, will that affect your ability to
6	be fair and impartial in this case?
7	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so.
8	THE COURT: Have you, a family member or close
9	friend ever been charged with a crime, convicted of a crime or
10	been the subject of a criminal investigation?
11	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
12	THE COURT: Have you or any member of your family or
13	close friends ever been a witness to a crime?
14	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so.
15	THE COURT: Have you, a family member or close
16	friend ever been a witness in a grand jury investigation or
17	been questioned in any matter by law enforcement officers.
18	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
19	THE COURT: Have you or any member of your family or
20	close friends ever been the victim of a crime.
21	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Robbery.
22	THE COURT: Yourself or someone else?
23	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: A close friend.
24	THE COURT: Will that affect your ability to be fair
25	and impartial? This case does not involve robbery.

Case 1:	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 60 of 236 PageID #: 1399
	JURY SELECTION 235
1	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
2	THE COURT: Have you a family member or close friend
3	ever been a party to a legal action against or dispute with
4	the United States Government, its agencies or employees?
5	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
6	THE COURT: Now this case has received some degree
7	of media attention. Have you read, heard or seen anything in
8	the media about this case or about the defendant, Douglas
9	Mackey otherwise known as Ricky Vaughn?
10	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
11	THE COURT: This case involves various individuals
12	including the defendant Douglas Mackey who had strong
13	political preferences during the 2016 presidential election
14	campaign including individuals who supported President Donald
15	Trump and individuals who supported presidential candidate
16	Hilary Clinton.
17	Do you have strong feelings or opinions related to
18	the 2016 presidential election campaign or to the two
19	cadidates during that campaign such that it would overcome
20	your duty to judge the facts in this case impartially and
21	fairly?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
23	THE COURT: How so? Tell me.

24

25

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have a strong political belief on one side and I think that would make me biased in

JURY SELECTION 236 1 terms of the case since it's in terms of the election of 2016. 2 THE COURT: You wouldn't be able to put aside your 3 sympathies or biases for either side and be a fair and 4 impartial juror in this case? 5 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I could try my best but I do 6 have a bias against a current political party. 7 THE COURT: I appreciate your honesty Ms. Klepadlo; 8 I sincerely do. We have to be sure that jurors can do more 9 than try; that they think they can be fair and impartial and 10 if you're telling me you're not sure then I don't think this 11 is the right case for you to sit on. 12 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay. 13 THE COURT: Are you more than "I can try" or or not? 14 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I just wanted to let you 15 know I have a personal bias, but it's just going to be in the 16 back of my head. 17 THE COURT: Notwithstanding that bias if the 18 Government would present evidence that didn't convince you 19 beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Mackey committed this 20 crime, would you be able to render a not-quilty verdict? 21 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If --22 THE COURT: The Government has the burden of proof to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Mackey committed 23 24 every element of the crime charged. If you sat on this case 25 and looked at the evidence and were not convinced beyond a

reasonable doubt that Mr. Mackey committed each element of the crime, would you have any hesitance to render a not-guilty verdict?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Flip it over. If the Government presents evidence that satisfies you as a juror beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Mackey committed every element of the crime, would you have any hesitance to return a verdict of quilty?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: In that case, no.

at with -- on and you told us you have this bias you didn't tell us which way and that's good, but in what I just presented to you, you seem to be able to overcome any bias that you have and look at the evidence in the case and if it satisfies the burden of proof, great; you find someone guilty. If it doesn't satisfy the burden of proof, you find them not guilty. You are focusing on the evidence and the law and not on your bias. Can you do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, at trial you're going to hear -- you may hear some language that you may find to be offensive and in assessing evidence you shouldn't assess it based on whether or not it's offensive but rather on whether that evidence, however offensive it may be, tends to prove or

Case 1:	:21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 63 of 236 PageID #: 1402
	JURY SELECTION 238
1	disprove the elements of the crime. If you found some
2	elements to be offensive, would you be able to set aside your
3	feelings of offensiveness and objectively judge it based on
4	that evidence and whether it satisfies the burden of proof or
5	not?
6	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
7	THE COURT: You live in Maspeth, yes?
8	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
9	THE COURT: How long?
10	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 23 years, 22 years.
11	THE COURT: Do you own or do you rent?
12	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I live with my parents.
13	THE COURT: What do your parents do for a living?
14	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My dad is a construction
15	worker and my mom works at the hospital.
16	THE COURT: Does anyone else live with you?
17	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No?
18	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
19	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
20	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I recently graduated and
21	started a job at a consulting firm.
22	THE COURT: What do they consult about?
23	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, this

THE COURT: What do you do with them specifically? 24

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm currently on a project

OCR

<b>5</b> 456 1.	JURY SELECTION 239
1	with trade settlements with Bank of America.
2	THE COURT: And what's what's the highest level
3	of education that you received, is it Bachelor's?
4	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Have you ever served in the military?
6	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
7	THE COURT: Where do you get your news from;
8	newspapers, radio, television, internet, social media
9	podcasts, what?
10	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Podcasts and social media.
11	THE COURT: Tell me about your internet and social
12	media use; what platforms do you use, how do you use them and
13	how frequently?
14	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I try to limit my social
15	media use. I only go on TikTok half an hour a day and then I
16	listen to podcasts. Sometimes YouTube.
17	THE COURT: Do you have Twitter, Instagram or
18	Facebook?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Twitter.
20	THE COURT: Do you use that frequently?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Daily?
23	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Are you concerned at all about the
25	reliability of information that you find on the internet and

JURY SELECTION 240 1 social media? 2 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Given that this case involves 4 allegations of misleading and false information on social 5 media, will you be able to fairly and impartially consider the evidence? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Have you ever been involved in voter 9 education or voter registration or the get out and vote effort? 10 11 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 12 THE COURT: Do you have any hobbies? 13 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 14 THE COURT: Tell me. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Snowboarding. 16 THE COURT: Other than snowboarding, other ways you 17 spend your leisure time? 18 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have a lot of 19 entrepreneurship aspirations, I would say. I like to learn 20 about the stock market. 21 THE COURT: What television shows or radio programs 22 do you regularly watch or listen to? 23 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: None. 24 THE COURT: Is there any reason that you can't be 25 fair and impartial in this case?

<b>5</b> 400 <b>1</b> .	JURY SELECTION 241
1	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
2	THE COURT: Is there anything in this case that
3	would cause you to favor one said or the other?
4	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
5	THE COURT: Will you be able to set aside any
6	sympathies or biases you may have for any of the parties in
7	this case and render an impartial verdict based solely on the
8	evidence presented in court and the instructions as given to
9	you by Judge Garaufis?
10	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Any sidebars or follow-up questions.
12	MR. GULLOTTA: Your Honor, I apologize if I just
13	missed this but I don't know if we asked question number 36.
14	Otherwise, the Government has no followup.
15	THE COURT: You are right, Mr. Gullotta.
16	Ms. Klepadlo, do you have any experience with what
17	you perceive to be deliberately false or misleading
18	information on the internet or on social media?
19	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Do I have experience with
20	it? Yes.
21	THE COURT: Would your experiences with such
22	information affect your ability to be fair and impartial in
23	this case?
24	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
25	THE COURT: Just briefly tell me about your the

Case 1	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 68 of 236 PageID #: 1407
	SIDEBAR CONFERENCE 243
1	(The following occurred at sidebar.)
2	MR. GULLOTTA: I don't think you asked the media
3	question, but I may have missed it.
4	MR. FRISCH: She said she list he evens to podcasts.
5	THE COURT: I'll ask it.
6	MR. FRISCH: First I would put the two things
7	together and ask her about false and misleading things on the
8	internet in connection with the 2016 election and then
9	MR. GULLOTTA: You're asking if she's seen it or if
10	the case contains it?
11	MR. FRISCH: If the case contains it and then
12	depending on the answer to that question I would reask the
13	question you asked earlier that elicited the answer from her
14	about her strong bias. Just see if she would now give a
15	different answer.
16	THE COURT: I want to be clear on what it is you're
17	asking. Do you want me to ask her whether she has seen false
18	and misleading
19	MR. FRISCH: No, this case is about false and
20	misleading information in connection with the 2016
21	presidential election. Having heard me tell you that that's
22	what the case is about, do you think you would be able to be

what the case is about, do you think you would be able to be fair and impartial in assessing that evidence.

THE COURT: Okay. And that 's it?

23

24

25

MR. FRISCH: Depending on her answer , then let me

## SIDEBAR CONFERENCE 244 1 go back to the question I asked you before, can you be fair 2 and impartial based on our conversation that you would be 3 biased given that this case involves the 2016 presidential election. 4 5 MR. GULLOTTA: She didn't say she would be biased. 6 She said she would be fair and impartial. The followup 7 questions brought that out of her. She definitely reflected 8 political bias the beginning, but I think she said she can set it aside. 9 10 MR. FRISCH: She said she had a strong political 11 bias and the judge asked her some general questions to which 12 she gave good juror answers. All I'm suggesting is we go back 13 and tie the two things together and see what her answer is 14 now. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 (End of sidebar conference.) 17 (Continued on the next page.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1 THE COURT: Ms. Klepadlo, when did you become 2 eligible to vote in -- was it prior to the 2016 election or 3 after? THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: After. 5 THE COURT: You mentioned that you -- well, this 6 case involves allegedly false and misleading information on 7 the internet or social media concerning the 2016 presidential 8 election campaign. Knowing that and knowing that you have 9 what you said to be strong beliefs about the -- whether -- I 10 don't know if it's the two candidates during that election 11 campaign or the election campaign itself, but knowing that you 12 have strong beliefs about that, can you put aside whatever 13 beliefs you may have and be fair and impartial in judging the 14 evidence presented in court? 15 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 16 THE COURT: And just to be clear, you have not seen 17 in the media, social media, the internet anything about this 18 case before today? 19 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not about this specific 20 defendant, no. 21 THE COURT: Have you seen things about allegedly 22 false and misleading information in relation to that 23 presidential campaign not involving this defendant? 24 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 25 THE COURT: Seeing those something themes things in

Case 1;	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 71 of 236 PageID #: 1410
	JURY SELECTION 246
1	the media is that going to affect your ability to be fair and
2	impartial?
3	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
4	THE COURT: Anything else.
5	MR. GULLOTTA: No, Your Honor.
6	MR. FRISCH: I have one question. Can I ask it from
7	here?
8	THE COURT: Sure.
9	MR. FRISCH: Can we ask the prospective juror what
10	it is that she saw.
11	THE COURT: Do you recall, Ms. Klepadlo, what it is
12	that you saw about allegedly false and misleading information
13	related to the 2016 presidential campaign?
14	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I saw numerous articles
15	written about voter fraud. I don't know if they were true or
16	not, but that's what I have seen on social media.
17	THE COURT: You are able to put that aside. And you
18	are able to listen to the evidence objectively impartially and
19	listen to Judge Garaufis' instructions and apply the law to
20	the facts as you find them and render a verdict that is
21	impartial and unbiased?
22	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Klepadlo, you can they
24	will take you into another courtroom and we're going to
25	continue the process with some of your colleagues. We're

JURY SELECTION

1 going to probably break at 12:30 for lunch. Thank you.

(Prospective juror exits.)

MR. FRISCH: Before the next juror comes in, can I be heard on an application?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. FRISCH: We don't know if this juror read about this case. She may not recognize the name of the defendant or his Twitter avatar, but she may have read about this case. We don't know. On the record as it stands given that facts I challenge her for cause, on that fact alone I challenge her for cause. If the Court is not inclined to grant that application and at the risk of calling her back, I want to know more about what kind of voter fraud she may have read about because she may have read about this case and the concern is when she is in the jury box and she hears the parties open, it may trigger a recollection where she thinks oh, that's what I've read about, I read about this case and in light of what she described as her strong biases that would be in the back of her mind, we could have a problem.

MR. GULLOTTA: I would just add, Your Honor, that she was asked pretty directly if she knew anything about this case or anything about the conduct alleged in the case as you described it. She said no each time. We can call her back and get more details about the voter fraud articles that she saw but she didn't say anything to say that she knew any of

JURY SELECTION

the details that are alleged in the case. Otherwise, I think she's qualified.

We do have to get through this process. If we keep calling people in over and over again we're never going to get there, but if it's important we can bring her in and ask her what she saw.

THE COURT: All right. Bring her back, please. Of course this was an election that she didn't vote in.

(Prospective juror enters.)

THE COURT: Thank you for coming back, Ms. Klepadlo.

Do you recall anything in specific about the articles that you saw about voter fraud in the 2016 election?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: So you couldn't tell whether -- tell me whether they related to or could you tell me whether they related to the presidential election or some other election in 2016, any specifics about what fraud was alleged or found?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm pretty sure it was mail fraud for the presidential election.

THE COURT: Do you recall if any specific names were mentioned about people who were engaging in that alleged mail fraud or anything like that?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't recall.

THE COURT: Do you recall whether -- all right, so you don't recall. Nothing in particular beyond there were

	JURY SELECTION 249
1	allegations of mail fraud in relation to the 2016 presidential
2	elections?
3	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, nothing else.
4	THE COURT: How long ago was it that you remember
5	seeing these articles?
6	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Back in 2016 and then they
7	came up again during the next election as well.
8	THE COURT: And of course you didn't vote in the
9	2016 election, did you?
10	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
11	THE COURT: Did you vote in the subsequent election?
12	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
13	THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Klepadlo.
14	(Prospective juror exits.)
15	THE COURT: Let's bring in Stephen Methot. He
16	raised his name to 10 and 17 if I'm not mistaken.
17	(Prospective juror enters.)
18	THE COURT: Hello, is it Methot?
19	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
20	THE COURT: You indicated that you have prepaid
21	vacation plans or confirmed medical appointments that cannot
22	be moved over the next two weeks?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, that's right. It's
24	basically the very last day was a Thursday the 27th. Was that
25	the last day of that

Case 1.	JURY SELECTION 250
1	THE COURT: Where are you going?
2	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'll be in Florida.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's the day I'm supposed
5	to leave, so.
6	THE COURT: And you're flying?
7	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, I'd rather not cancel
8	the trip personally, but if I have to.
9	THE COURT: The 20th is a Monday so the 27th would
10	be the following Monday. You're sure it's the 27th.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm supposed to fly to Florida
12	the last day of this month. That's my plan as it stands right
13	now.
14	THE COURT: That would be the 30th.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: So that would be, like, two
16	weeks which is what they explained to us at the beginning,
17	right.
18	THE COURT: What we explained in the beginning was
19	it would be the 16th through the 28th at the very latest.
20	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well
21	THE COURT: Which you would be okay with that if
22	you're leaving on the 30th, but the Judge is considering
23	adjusting the trial schedule to go from the 20th to the 31st
24	at the latest although it's likely it would end before the
25	31st but we don't know for sure and it may put you in

Case 1:21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 76 of 236 PageID #: 1415 JURY SELECTION 251 1 jeopardy. 2 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I understand. 3 THE COURT: So, Mr. Methot, I'm going to take you at 4 your word, you were sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth 5 and I'm sure you have tickets to go to Florida on the 30th and 6 it's too close to call so you're excused. 7 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you, Your Honor. 8 (Prospective juror exits.) 9 THE COURT: Mymy Tran, number 30 only answered yes 10 to question 9, difficulty reading or understanding English. 11 We are up to 13 qualified jurors. 12 (Prospective juror enters.) 13 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Ms. Tran. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Good afternoon. 15 THE COURT: Ms. Tran, you indicated that you have difficulty reading or understanding English. 16 17 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: A little bit because when I 18 watch TV, I need to turn on the caption and to understand 19 better, yeah. 20 THE COURT: How long have you been in the United 21 States? 22 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Over 30 years. 23 THE COURT: What do you do for a living? 24 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I used to be -- at the

beginning I was a bookkeeper and I was promoted to be the

JURY SELECTION 252 1 controller. After 20 years, I think when I was --2 THE COURT: Are you still working? 3 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 4 THE COURT: You retired? 5 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I retired six years ago. 6 THE COURT: What do you do to keep busy now that 7 you've retired? 8 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Playing mahjong. 9 THE COURT: Ms. Tran, in my experience, most jurors 10 who express some difficulty reading or understanding English. 11 It's not that they don't have sufficient skills or proficiency 12 in English, it's more so a matter of confidence and that when 13 they are selected to serve as jurors they're fine, they do 14 fine. THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Oh, really? Because 15 16 yesterday when you were saying -- at the court, over there, some I understand, some I don't. So I'm -- I don't have 17 confidence as you said. 18 19 THE COURT: I think you will be fine in this case. 20 I don't believe that there is too much technical jargon or 21 things that you would not understand. So I'm going to ask you 22 to bear with us during this process. 23 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sure. 24 THE COURT: So, the trial is going to start sometime

between March 16th, this Thursday, and conclude no later than

Case 1:21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 78 of 236 PageID #: 1417 JURY SELECTION 253 1 March 31st. 2 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Oh, okay. 3 THE COURT: Would it present a genuine hardship for 4 you to serve on the jury during that period of time? 5 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. Just that I'm traveling 6 from Long Island, that's it. 7 THE COURT: You live in West Hempstead? 8 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Correct. 9 THE COURT: Our jury pool where we get jurors from 10 stretches from Suffolk County all the way on Long Island to 11 Richmond County in Staten Island and people can be asked to go 12 from one end all the way to the other to serve on jury duty. 13 That's just the nature of it and there's nothing we can do 14 about it. So I think it might be an inconvenience. 15 never convenient to serve on jury duty, but I'm going to ask 16 you to bear with us. 17 Have you, a family member or close friend ever been 18 charged with a crime, convicted of a crime or been the subject 19 of a criminal investigation? 20 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 21 THE COURT: Have you or any member of your family or 22 close friends ever been a witness to a crime? 23 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

friend ever been a witness in a grand jury investigation or

THE COURT: Have you, a family member or/close

24

Case 1:	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 79 of 236 PageID #: 1418
	JURY SELECTION 254
1	been questioned in any matter by law enforcement officers?
2	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
3	THE COURT: Have you or any member of your family or
4	close friends been the victim of a crime?
5	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
6	THE COURT: Have you, a family member or a close
7	friend ever been a party of a legal action or dispute against
8	the United States or any of its agencies or employees?
9	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
10	THE COURT: This case has received some media
11	attention. Have you read heard or seen anything about this
12	case in the media before or about the defendant Douglas Mackey
13	otherwise known as Ricky Vaughn?
14	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: To be honest, I don't turn
15	on the TV at all.
16	THE COURT: What about the internet or social media?
17	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I just watch YouTube and all
18	of those things are Hong Kong news and everything so I don't
19	listen and watch TV that often.
20	THE COURT: Okay. And, so you haven't heard about
21	this case before?
22	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, yeah.
23	THE COURT: Okay. This case involves various
24	individuals including the defendant Douglas Mackey who had

strong political preferences during the 2016 presidential

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, semiretired.

THE COURT: Semiretired, all right.

24

THE COURT: Will you be able to set aside any

No.

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR:

24

1 sympathies or biases you have for any of the parties in this 2 case and render an impartial verdict -- just listen to the 3 question. 4 Will you be able to set aside any sympathies or 5 biases you may have for one side or the other and render an 6 impartial verdict based solely on the evidence that's 7 presented in court, and the law that's given to you by the 8 district judge? 9 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I will do that. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Any follow up for Ms. Tran? 11 MR. PAULSEN: No, thank you, Your Honor. 12 MR. FRISCH: No, Judge. 13 THE COURT: All right, Ms. Tran, thank you so much. 14 They're going to take you into another courtroom and you'll 15 wait for a little bit. Okay? 16 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay, sure. Thank you. (Prospective juror exits the courtroom.) 17 (Prospective juror enters the courtroom.) 18 19 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Jesse Zender, Juror 20 Number 31. How you doing? 21 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Good. How are you? 22 THE COURT: Pretty good. 23 I believe Mr. Zender raised his juror number for 24 Questions 12, 17, 18 and 19. 25 Mr. Zender, you indicated that you've served on a

1 My father in-law is an attorney. My uncle was in 2 the ATF. I've friends that are ex-NYPD who are now police 3 officers on Long Island. That's really the extent of it. 4 THE COURT: A couple of questions about that. 5 You may have learned from these folks a little bit 6 about the law, or maybe a lot of bit about the law over the 7 vears. 8 But you're going to have to put aside what you 9 learned from them and take instructions on the law from 10 Judge Garaufis he is the one who decides what law applies to this particular case. You'd have to utilize that law whether 11 12 you disagree with it, think it's different, you got to take 13 that law. 14 Can you do that? 15 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. 16 THE COURT: Second question. Given your relationships with folks in law enforcement, is that going to 17 18 affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case? 19 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know. I mean I 20 am -- I didn't raise my handle in the courtroom, but the more 21 that I thought about it, I am somewhat familiar with the case 22 just from, you know, reading newspapers, stuff that's on 2.3 Twitter. So I mean I am somewhat familiar with... 24 THE COURT: That's a different issue, and we'll talk 25 about that.

party lines. One way or the other. Just being honest with

It's kind of how I feel.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, if the government were to present THE COURT: evidence to you that convinced you beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Mackey disseminated false or misleading information through the social media or the internet, such that it constituted all of the elements of the crime that Judge Garaufis will instruct you on, would you be able to return a verdict of guilty? THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I mean if the evidence said that, I suppose, or if it said that he was innocent, said that he was innocent. THE COURT: So you would be able to disregard then what you may have seen on Twitter about -- allegations about Mr. Mackey doing whatever was alleged to have been done and focus on what the government puts into evidence and what Mr. Mackey may put into evidence, that's accepted into evidence in court, and consider all of that and judge the case? THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Kind of sitting in front of you here, it's easy to say, yes. But when it kind of comes down to it, you know, it's hard to hard to tell. THE COURT: Well, Mr. Zender, we need jurors who --I appreciate your candor telling me it's hard, as you sit here to tell, but we need jurors who are confident that they can. Heard anything about the case before. Have any particular biases they can put all of that aside and say, no, just the

THE COURT: The case specific questions, can we --

(Discussion was had off the record.)

24

MR. GULLOTTA: To the big group and just maybe go through some of this with the large group, and we can identify whatever the issues are, or perhaps it sounds like I didn't land on what you were thinking about and maybe there's a way to condense the questions a little bit and get through it faster.

We're open to all suggestions, and if we can spend the lunch break and work.

THE COURT: Well, we actually can use the ceremonial courtroom now because I think naturalizations is over.

I had a thought of condensing the prior criminal involvement. Those questions, are they all necessary, number one.

And I'm amenable, if there's no objection from Mr. Mackey to -- we can go -- we can take the, what is the four case-specific questions, bring everyone into the ceremonial courtroom, ask them those, see who holds up their notes, and then when we go through the individual questions, those who have issues we can follow up on. We can do it that way.

I just thought originally I didn't want people in the case-specific questions to see someone else put up their sign and said oh, yeah, me, too.

MR. GULLOTTA: Or feel reluctant to put up a sign.

I get it. I'm trying to think it through here on the fly and

PROCEEDINGS

267

I don't know if do we need that many? We can bring them back in here one by one.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: To some degree there may be a few people who don't put -- who don't put up their notes so we don't have to ask them that question privately.

MR. FRISCH: I think there's, as much as we all want to move more quickly, I think that -- I think we should just stick with what we're doing. Because I think we move back and forth then it's just going to -- it's not going to expedite things.

THE COURT: All right, why don't we break for lunch.

There's a juror, Juror Number 101, would like to be excused -- actually she asked to leave early today because her son has his first confession and she wants to go with him.

He's not getting into the booth with him, but I mean that's -- it's a big thing. And I'm inclined to let her go.

We can just let her go for today and have her come back tomorrow, although we may not get to 101 today.

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: She wanted to leave whatever time we go for lunch.

THE COURT: Any objection to letting her go?

MR. FRISCH: No, Your Honor.

MR. PAULSEN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, you can tell her she's excused.

And the others I want to keep.

PROCEEDING	7
PRULE, P. P. III INII.	- ·

What about Juror Number 167 who has two kids, the young kids, how old are the kids?

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I didn't find out how old, but they're minors, they are not school age. They're just child care issues and she asked yesterday and today for someone to watch them.

THE COURT: Any objection to letting Juror Number 167 go? She has child care issues with two minor children.

MR. FRISCH: No.

MR. GULLOTTA: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: She's excused. Tell her privately.

And then the hardship. No. Self-employed. No.

The others are just going to have to bear with us.

So we'll break for lunch. Consider, though -- I mean it might save a few minutes per juror if we condense or dispose of some of the prior criminal involvement questions. If we save like a couple minutes per person that adds up, but

MR. GULLOTTA: I think so.

I still think we're doing this tomorrow.

MR. PAULSEN: Your Honor, at this rate I think it's coming close to 5 p.m. tomorrow. We're not making that much progress still.

THE COURT: So it is, what it is.

(A recess was taken at 12:36 p.m.)

Number one, did you discuss it with any of the other

Case 1	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 95 of 236 PageID #: 1434
	JURY SELECTION 270
1	prospective jurors?
2	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Me leaving?
3	THE COURT: Yes.
4	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
5	THE COURT: Okay. And you will attend to this work
6	commitment today, you need to leave at 2, because it's been
7	moved up, from what I hear, and you will return tomorrow for
8	the remainder of the jury selection, yes?
9	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Okay. We will allow that to happen.
11	I want to warn you against speaking with anyone
12	about this case, prospective jurors, anyone. Your family, you
13	can tell them you've been in a selection process. Leave it as
14	innocuous as that.
15	And certainly don't do any internet research,
16	anything like that. Don't look on media, social media, what
17	have you for anything about this case.
18	If you see something, if it comes up on your feed or
19	whatever they call it, don't open it. Don't read it. Ignore
20	it.
21	If you see the parties, the attorneys, tomorrow in
22	the hall or anything, don't talk to them. They will not talk
23	to you. They are not allowed to have any interaction with you

And if anyone approaches you about this case, you

outside the courtroom.

24

version of the questions with any subsequent jurors to see if

JURY SELECTION

they have any positive answers to them.
And obviously if anyone has a reason that they had

would like to speak privately about, for example, experience with the criminal justice system we could have a sidebar with that particular juror.

THE COURT: What do you think, Mr. Frisch?

MR. FRISCH: I oppose it. I think this process is slow, but it's effective. And it's just the nature of the beast.

THE COURT: I tend to agree with Mr. Frisch. And the whole purpose for asking -- for isolating prospective jurors and asking them these questions is so that their responses don't infect anyone else. Infect is probably too strong of a word. Influence anyone else. And to cut down on the need for repeated sidebars, because that has its own time element involved.

So we're going to continue the way we're going and I'm go to try to.

MR. PAULSEN: Understood, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So James Mooney is Juror Number 32, he's next. And he only responded affirmatively to Questions 12 and 19, if I'm not mistaken.

(Prospective juror enters the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Mooney.

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Good afternoon.

Case 1:	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 99 of 236 PageID #: 1438
	JURY SELECTION 274
1	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Can you tell me about that?
3	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: In my family, I have a lot
4	of police officers and stuff. Past and present. I just
5	attended the wake and the funeral of a 22-year-old officer
6	that committed suicide last week, relationship there with
7	that.
8	And just throughout my family police, people that,
9	you know, we talk about the job a lot and everything. Just I
10	have that presence around me.
11	THE COURT: Okay. You are not an officer or a
12	retired officer?
13	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Well, two things about that.
15	During your relationships with these folks you may
16	have heard or learned something about what the law is. And
17	that's all well and good, but in this case, were you to sit as
18	a juror, the law comes from Judge Garaufis. He's the one who
19	will tell what you the law is that applies to this case.
20	And you have to apply that law, whether you agree
21	with it or not, whether you think it's what it should be or it
22	should be something else, or whether you learned something
23	about the law from one of your friends or family members.

LINDA D. DANELCZYK, RPR, CSR, CCR Official Court Reporter

If you were selected, could you do that? Apply his

Judge Garaufis is -- the law that he gives you controls.

24

2016 presidential election campaign or those two candidates,

No.

THE COURT: You live with anyone?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR:

24

JURY SELECTION

283

1 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, take care of a child. 2 THE COURT: And you'd come back tomorrow for 3 remainder of the proceedings? 4 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My question is if I am like 5 since I'm going to be chosen to take care of a child, so I 6 mean I need to --7 THE COURT: If you are among -- it doesn't mean that you were chosen, it means that you are among the pool of 8 9 either 36 or 40, people from which the jury will be chosen. 10 And that will be done after we get a group that withstands 11 challenges of 36 and maybe 40 people, and then the lawyers 12 will exercise their peremptory challenges and strike people, 13 and that will leave us with 12 jurors and either four or six 14 alternates. 15 And as I said yesterday, the odds are if you're among the 40, there are better odds that you'll be stricken 16 17 then -- that you'll be chosen, because the number of people 18 chosen are less than the number of people stricken. So your 19 odds are that. But, again, there's no guarantee. 20 So I'm prepared to let you go take care of your 21 charge today, come back tomorrow. That's not a problem. 22 We're going to start tomorrow at 9:15. So you need to be in 23 the central jury room at 9:15. 24 Don't do any internet research on this case. 25 see anything in your news feed or whatever it is they call it,

(Prospective juror enters the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Okay, Ms. Harriet Liu is Number 33.

She

has indicated affirmative responses to 18 and 19.

23

24

JURY SELECTION 285 1 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Ms. Liu. 2 You indicated that you have either yourself, a 3 family member or close friends work for, been a member of 4 applied for employment with law enforcement. 5 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 6 THE COURT: And that you have -- or family members 7 or close friends have relationships with such folks. 8 Can you tell me about that, please? 9 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My first cousin is actually 10 I mean, kind of like an acquaintance cousin because I 11 don't really talk to him, but it's more so my brothers who 12 speak to him. But he's been a cop for quite a few years. 13 THE COURT: NYPD? 14 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. THE COURT: Okay. Has he ever spoken with you about 15 16 the law or, you know, what the law is on any given subject? 17 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, we don't talk. THE COURT: All right. And is that the -- that's 18 19 the only person in response to both Questions 18 and 19? 20 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My best friend's sister's a 21 But we don't talk about anything related to law. 22 THE COURT: All right. So in this case you're going 23 to get the law from Judge Garaufis. He's going to instruct 24 you on what the elements of the crime, what the government has

to prove and all of that. And you have to apply that, whether

JURY SELECTION

286 1 you agree with it or not, whether you think it should be 2 different, whether you've learned something else from someone 3 else. 4 Can you do that? Can you take the law from 5 Judge Garaufis and apply it to this case? 6 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I quess. 7 THE COURT: Your duty bound, because you're going to take an oath to do that, and the judge is the one who 8 9 instructs the jury on the law. 10 But you can't go do private research and talk to other people and say what is the law on this? You're not 11 12 permitted to do that. It's the judge who tells you what it 13 is. 14 You can take his law, yes? 15 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Your relationship or, I use that term loosely, with your first cousin who's a cop and your best 17 18 friend's sister who's a cop, will that affect your ability to 19 be fair and impartial in this case? 20 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 21 THE COURT: We're going to have the trial in this 22 It may start on Thursday, the 16th. Possibility it may 23 be pushed to the Monday the 20th. And at the very latest it 24 would end on March 31st, depending upon the beginning of the

More likely it will be earlier than that.

25

trial.

	JURY SELECTION 287
1	Is there any reason why, if you were serving on this
2	jury for that period of time, it would cause you a genuine
3	hardship?
4	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
5	THE COURT: Have you, a family member or close
6	friend ever been charged with a crime, convicted of a crime or
7	been the subject of a criminal investigation?
8	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I had a friend from high
9	school and she recently she was actually a cop. I haven't
10	spoken to her since high school. And my husband at one point
11	was still talking to her. And I don't know if anybody knows,
12	but her name's Yvonne Wu (phonetic). She was actually NYPD
13	cop also, but I think she got I'm pretty sure she got
14	charged because she shot her ex-girlfriend's lover or
15	something.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: But I haven't spoken to any
18	of them in quite a long time.
19	THE COURT: All right. Will that in any way affect
20	your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?
21	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
22	THE COURT: Have you or any family member or close
23	friend ever been a witness to a crime?
24	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not that I know of, no.
25	THE COURT: Have you, a family member or close

JURY SELECTION		
	TIIDV	CELECHION
	ILLIKY	> F. L. F. C. T. T. C. IVI

friend ever been a witness in a grand jury investigation or

2 been questioned in any matter by a law enforcement officer?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not that I know of.

THE COURT: Have you or any family member or close

5 friend been the victim of a crime?

1

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: Have you or any family member or close friend ever been a party to a legal action or dispute against the United States or any of or its agencies or employees?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: Now, as I said before this case received some media attention.

Have you read heard or seen anything in the media about this case or about the defendant Douglass Mackey a/k/a Ricky Vaughn?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, not until yesterday when I Googled at home.

THE COURT: You Googled at home?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.

THE COURT: What did you see?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Because I'm not into politics or anything so I was just like who is this person I never heard of. And it was just, I think, 4,300 people I think he tried to scam them through text rather than voting in

RPR

25 person. That's all I can remember.

JURY SELECTION

289

1 THE COURT: Did you make up any -- come to any 2 conclusions based on what you -- let me ask you this: 3 many reports or articles or whatever did you see? 4 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just one. 5 THE COURT: Other than this one Google search did 6 you do any other internet search? 7 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 8 THE COURT: Did you come to any conclusions on your 9 own based on that research whether there was validity to the 10 allegations or there was not validity or anything? 11 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, nothing. 12 THE COURT: Do you remember the name of the article 13 that you saw or what -- or on what -- who wrote it or --14 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can't remember the article was, but it was something about prosecuted, prosecution or 15 16 something like that. That was it. 17 THE COURT: Having seen that article can you ignore 18 it, put it out of your mind and if you were selected to serve 19 on this jury, reach a decision based solely on the evidence 20 presented in court and the law as given to you by Judge 21 Garaufis? 22 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 23 THE COURT: No, this case involves various 24 individuals including Mr. Mackey who had strong political 25 preferences during the 2016 presidential campaign including

OCR

JURY SELECTION

290

1 individuals who supported President Donald Trump and 2 individuals who supported presidential candidate Hilary 3 Clinton. 4 Do you have strong feelings or opinions related to 5 the 2016 presidential election campaign or to the two 6 candidates in that campaign such that it would overcome your 7 duty to judge the facts in this case impartially and fairly? 8 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 9 THE COURT: Did you vote in the 2016 election? 10 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think I'm 11 registered. 12 THE COURT: You were not old enough to vote then? 13 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was. I just chose not to 14 vote. I'm not in politics, nothing. I'm not interested in 15 any of those. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any experience with 17 what you perceive to be deliberately false or misleading 18 information on the internet or social media? 19 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Rephrase that question 20 again. 21 THE COURT: Do you have any experience with what you 22 perceived to be deliberately false or misleading information 23 on the internet or on social media? 24 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 25 THE COURT: At trial you may hear some language that

you may find to be offensive. You should not assess the evidence you hear based on whether you find it to be offensive or not but rather whether the evidence tends to prove or disapprove the elements of the crime.

If you found some evidence to be offensive, would you be able to set aside your feelings about it and objectively consider it and follow the court's instructions on the law?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.

THE COURT: You live in Staten Island, Ms. Liu?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: And how long have you lived there?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I mean, before COVID I was on and off in Brooklyn with my parents and then I moved back to Staten Island because I was, like -- my husband wanted to

see the dog also. So officially in September I moved back

17 there and stayed there permanently since then.

THE COURT: But aside from Staten Island you've

19 lived in Brooklyn?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: All of my life, yeah, in

21 Brooklyn.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

25

22 THE COURT: Your place in Staten Island, do you own

23 or rent?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Owned. My husband owns it.

THE COURT: What does he do?

Case 1:	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 117 of 236 PageID #: 1456
	JURY SELECTION 292
1	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He just started MTA as a
2	train operator.
3	THE COURT: Do you have any children?
4	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
5	THE COURT: And what do you do for a living?
6	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I recently left the Gap that
7	closed down in the mall in Staten Island and now I work at the
8	DMV.
9	THE COURT: What is the highest level of education
10	you completed?
11	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My Bachelor's degree.
12	THE COURT: Have you ever served in the military?
13	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
14	THE COURT: Where do you get your news from,
15	newspapers, radio, TV, internet, social media, podcasts or
16	what?
17	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Mostly on the internet but I
18	don't really pay attention to the news. If I am on some
19	browsing website and I'm interested I'll read it, but that's
20	pretty much it.
21	THE COURT: Do you use other than what you've
22	already explained do you use the internet and social media?
23	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I do but I only use it to

THE COURT: Do you have a Facebook or Twitter or

browse a website YouTube, Google, TikTok.

24

JURY	SELECTION	293
------	-----------	-----

1 Instagram account?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I used to have all three. I

3 | had to have a Twitter account because I needed it for college.

I had had Facebook, but I deactivated it awhile ago because it

5 takes up your life.

4

16

22

6 THE COURT: And do you still use your -- you said

7 | for college you got a Twitter account?

8 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, I had it for when I

9 was in college.

10 THE COURT: I think the mic went out. Hold on.

11 Go ahead.

12 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I had Twitter. Probably the

13 last time I used it was 2014 or 2015. The professor said we

14 needed to use a Twitter account just to, like, re- Tweet

15 | articles regarding our major and also was part of, like, our

syllabus productivity percentage or something. I forgot. I

17 | graduated a long time ago.

THE COURT: But you no longer use it?

19 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No because I don't know how

20 to use it.

21 THE COURT: Have you ever been concerned about the

reliability of information that you find on the internet or

23 | social media?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sometimes yes and no.

25 THE COURT: Will that affect your ability to be fair

OCR

Case 1:	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 119 of 236 PageID #: 1458
	JURY SELECTION 294
1	and impartial in this case?
2	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would say no.
3	THE COURT: Have you ever been involved in voter
4	education or registration efforts or any get out the vote
5	efforts?
6	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
7	THE COURT: Do you have any hobbies?
8	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Stay at home with my dog and
9	watch TV.
10	THE COURT: Well, what do you watch on TV regularly
11	or what do you listen to regularly on the radio, particular
12	shows or programs?
13	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I mainly just watch Korean
14	and Chinese stuff. I don't listen to the radio. My husband
15	does in the car.
16	THE COURT: Okay. The the Korean or Chinese
17	shows, are they news shows or are they just comedies and
18	dramas and non-news?
19	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's just dramas, made up
20	fiction and stuff.
21	THE COURT: Is there any reason why you cannot be
22	fair and impartial in rendering a verdict in this case?
23	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
24	THE COURT: Is there anything in this case that

would cause you to favor one side over other?

	JURY SELECTION 295
1	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
2	THE COURT: Will you be able to set aside any
3	sympathies or biases you have for any of the parties in this
4	case and render an impartial verdict.
5	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Say that again.
6	THE COURT: Will you be able to set aside any
7	sympathies or biases that you may have for any of the parties
8	in this case and render an impartial verdict based solely on
9	the evidence presented in court and the law as given to you by
10	Judge Garaufis?
11	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
12	THE COURT: I just want to be clear again about the
13	Google search you did for this case and the one thing that you
14	saw during that search. Are you certain that that will not
15	affect your ability to be fair and impartial?
16	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Any follow-up questions for
18	Ms. Liu?
19	MR. GULLOTTA: No, Your Honor.
20	MR. FRISCH: I do.
21	THE COURT: Do you want to come up and talk?
22	MR. FRISCH: Please.
23	(Continued on the next page.)
24	(Sidebar conference.)
25	

# SIDEBAR CONFERENCE

1 (The following occurred at sidebar.)

MR. FRISCH: I think that a juror during jury selection Googling a case and reading an article about it and this particular article I think is -- it's not the one you mentioned earlier, but it's a question about this prosecution and I think that's per se disqualifying. Whatever she says about her certainty or lack of certainty I think she can't be on the jury for doing that.

If Your Honor instructed her not to look things up, she violated the Court's direction and that in and of itself is disqualifying and if Your Honor didn't do that, probably it's all of our bad for not realizing it and not calling it to Your Honor's attention --

THE COURT: I didn't.

MR. FRISCH: Well, we shouldn't.

THE COURT: I shouldn't.

MR. FRISCH: It's all of our faults, but here we are. She did something she shouldn't have done. She read something about this prosecution. I don't think she can be resurrected.

THE COURT: It's likely the DOJ's press release because that's is the first thing that came up. After you mentioned this morning about this, I did a Google and the first thing was the press release.

Do you have anything to say.

# SIDEBAR CONFERENCE

MR. GULLOTTA: She doesn't demonstrate a bias. I think you asked adequate follow-up questions on how she would handle the evidence if she were selected and I think her answers were sufficient and I also don't recall an instruction, maybe there was one that they not Google the case, so I don't know that she, like, actually violated an instruction from the Court. She may have been curious and not know that it was wrong. I don't know.

We don't want anyone either on the jury that is going to have a big preconception about the case. It doesn't seem like that's what she was articulating. There are plenty of cases that gain media attention so people will just know about them and we have to ask the question will you be influenced by that or can you separate what you have heard about the case when you're at trial. She seemed to answer that question adequately.

All of that being said I don't think the Government has a strong position on this. If the Court is concerned in the way that Mr. Frisch is concerned, so be it. We can strike her and move on, but that's just my evaluation to my responses to the Court's questions.

MR. FRISCH: I think it's a per se violation and she can't be resurrected. It's someone during the jury selection Googling and reading the case. I don't fault her for doing it but she did it and that's it.

RPR

	SIDEBAR CONFERENCE 298
1	THE COURT: I don't think it's a per se violation
2	because she was the jury was not instructed not to do that.
3	However, in light of the Government's it has really no
4	objection to striking her so out of an abundance of question,
5	I will.
6	MR. GULLOTTA: Fair enough.
7	MR. FRISCH: Thank you.
8	
9	(End of sidebar conference.)
10	(Continued on the next page.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JURY SELECTION

299

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Liu. I'm going to excuse you from serving on this jury. You can report to the Central Jury Room and they will give you further instructions. Do you have your -- you don't have your juror card with you, the big number that we gave you yesterday. THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think I got that. THE COURT: It was the one in the Central Jury Room. THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I left that inside there. THE COURT: Okay. You can go to the Central Jury They'll let you know what to do. THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you. (Prospective juror exits.) MR. FRISCH: Can I make a suggestion before we go to where we are. It's probably -- if I had realized that that instruction wasn't in there, I would have called it to your attention but we're all human. Might I propose that perhaps just to avoid this happening again -- they're sitting there, they're bored, they have their phones, that Your Honor go in and just tell the jurors we appreciate your patience --THE COURT: They don't have their phones. MR. FRISCH: How did she Google it? THE COURT: She went home yesterday. MR. FRISCH: I was thinking because I have my phone they must have their's, but I'm a lawyer, but, okay. MR. GULLOTTA: It makes sense to give it at the end

	JURY SELECTION 300
1	of the day though, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: I agree and all of the other charges
3	again.
4	(Prospective juror enters.)
5	THE COURT: Good afternoon Ms. Bolarinwa.
6	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Good afternoon.
7	THE COURT: Ms. Bolarinwa, the trial in this case is
8	going to start perhaps on Thursday and continue through
9	perhaps March 31st.
10	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.
11	THE COURT: They may adjust it a little bit so it
12	begins on Monday the 20th, we're not sure yet. Is there any
13	reason why serving on the jury during that period of time
14	would cause you a genuine hardship?
15	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
16	THE COURT: Have you, a family member or close
17	friend ever been charged with a crime, convicted of a crime or
18	been the subject of a criminal investigation?
19	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
20	THE COURT: Have you or any member of your family or
21	close friends ever been a witness to a crime?
22	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
23	THE COURT: Have you, a family member or close
24	friend ever been a witness in a grand jury investigation or
25	been questioned in any matter by law enforcement officers?

Case 1:	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 126 of 236 PageID #: 1465
	JURY SELECTION 301
1	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
2	THE COURT: Have you or any member of your family or
3	close friends ever been the victim of a crime?
4	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
5	THE COURT: Have you, a family member or close
6	friend ever been a party to a legal action or dispute against
7	the United States or any of its agencies or employees?
8	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
9	THE COURT: Now, this case has received some degree
10	of media attention. Have you read, heard or seen anything in
11	the media about this case or about the defendant Douglas
12	Mackey, otherwise known as Ricky Vaughn?
13	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
14	THE COURT: This case involves various individuals
15	including the defendant Douglas Mackey who had strong
16	political preferences during the 2016 presidential election
17	campaign, including individuals who supported President Donald
18	Trump and also individuals who supported presidential
19	candidate Hilary Clinton.
20	Do you have strong opinions or feelings related to
21	the 2016 presidential election campaign or to the two
22	candidates in that campaign, such that it would overcome your
23	duty to judge the facts in this case impartially and fairly.

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

24

25

THE COURT: Do you have any experience with what you

JURY SELECTION

302

1 perceived to be deliberately false or misleading information 2 to the internet or on social media? 3 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 4 THE COURT: Alt trial you may hear some language 5 that you find may be offensive. You should not assess the 6 evidence you hear based on whether you find it to be offensive 7 or not, but rather on whether the evidence tends to prove the 8 elements of the crime or disprove them. 9 If you found some of the evidence to be offensive 10 would you be able to set aside your feelings about its 11 offensiveness and to objectively hear and consider that 12 evidence and the instructions to you given you by Judge 13 Garaufis. 14 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 15 THE COURT: You live in Brooklyn? 16 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Brooklyn, yes. 17 THE COURT: How long? 18 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: About 30 minutes to my 19 house. 20 THE COURT: How long have you lived in Brooklyn? 21 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 2011 to until now. 22 THE COURT: Do you own the house or do you rent it? 23 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, no, it's my daughter's 24 house. 25 THE COURT: It's your daughter's house?

Case 1:2	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 128 of 236 PageID #: 1467
	JURY SELECTION 303
1	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Other than your daughter, who lives
3	there?
4	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I live with my little
5	daughter.
6	THE COURT: And it's her house.
7	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, my daughter.
8	THE COURT: Do you own it or
9	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's only two of us; myself
10	and my little daughter.
11	THE COURT: Is it an apartment or house?
12	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's a family house.
13	THE COURT: And your daughter owns it?
14	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
15	THE COURT: What does she do for a living?
16	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: She's in college, the little
17	one, but the other one that own the house she works in
18	Maryland.
19	THE COURT: She works in Maryland?
20	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: She's a senior developer.
21	THE COURT: She lives down there?
22	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: She owns the house but I
23	live there with my little daughter.
24	THE COURT: I got it. Do you work?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

	JURY SELECTION 304
1	THE COURT: What do you do?
2	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Behavioral health.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work with psych patients.
5	THE COURT: And what's the highest level of
6	education you received?
7	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Associates degree in
8	science.
9	THE COURT: Have you ever served in the military.
10	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
11	THE COURT: Where do you get your news from,
12	newspapers, radio, TV, internet, social media, podcasts, what?
13	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm sorry, I don't read
14	news. I just read my novels, go to my job, I come back and
15	take my book and start reading and that's it.
16	THE COURT: That is all right. Do you use the
17	internet for social media?
18	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I only use it for my job.
19	THE COURT: You don't have a Twitter account or
20	Instagram or Facebook?
21	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have Facebook, but I used
22	it three or four years ago because of the messages so I have
23	to block it.
24	THE COURT: Are you concerned at all about the
25	reliability of the information that you find on the internet

JURY	SELECTION	305

1 and social media?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, that's why I block it

3 because of the messages that I received there. So I don't

like it. So I blocked Facebook so I don't look at Facebook.

5 THE COURT: Have you ever been involved in voter

6 education, voter registration or any get out and vote efforts?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, no.

THE COURT: Do you have any hobbies?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, my hobbies is readings

10 novels and playing with my kid. That's my hobby.

11 THE COURT: Do you watch any particular television

shows or listen to any particular radio programs on a regular

13 basis?

7

8

9

12

14 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah the one I watch is

15 maybe like play movies. I'm not so interested in those

16 type -- within 30 minutes I'm off. So I really don't sit down

17 with the TV.

18 THE COURT: Is there any reason why you cannot be

19 fair and impartial in this case?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I don't think so.

21 THE COURT: Is there anything about this case that

22 | would cause you to favor one side over the other?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, no.

THE COURT: Have you been able to set aside any

25 sympathies or biases for any of the parties in this case and

3000 2	JURY SELECTION 306
1	render an impartial verdict based old Lee on the evidence
2	presented in court and the law as given to you by the judge?
3	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can, yes.
4	THE COURT: Yes?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Any questions for Ms. Bolarinwa?
7	MR. GULLOTTA: No, Your Honor.
8	MR. FRISCH: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
9	THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Bolarinwa, they're going
10	to take you into the next courtroom and you're going to wait a
11	little bit and we'll get back to you shortly, okay?
12	(Prospective juror exits.)
13	(Prospective juror enters.)
14	(Prospective juror enters.)
15	THE COURT: Ms. Gyalnang, good afternoon.
16	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Good afternoon.
17	THE COURT: You indicated that you have a background
18	or have taken courses in the law; is that correct?
19	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I mean, I did take one class
20	when I was in college, Law 101, so that's what was in my head.
21	THE COURT: How long ago was that?
22	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think more than 15 years
23	ago.
24	THE COURT: Okay. You may have learned something in
25	that class about criminal law, I don't know. If you did,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hardship?

JURY SELECTION 307 that's fine, but in this case the law that you apply is the law that comes from Judge Garaufis. He will tell you what the law is. You will have to follow the law whether you agree with it or not, whether you think it should be different, whether you learned something different in your Law 101 class in college could. You do that? THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I could do that. THE COURT: The trial in the case is going to start either on Thursday the 16th or Monday the 20th. We're not quite sure yet. We'll know later today. It's going to last until either the 28th at the very latest or the 31st depending on when it starts. And it's likely going to end sooner than either of these dates, but is there any reason why serving on this jury for that period of time would cause you a genuine

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just in terms of -- I don't know what a genuine hardship is, but I'm at a new job. I'm here, like, six months so it's kind of getting in the way of that.

I'm only been at my job for six months.

THE COURT: We'll talk about what you do in a little while, but I appreciate that. We'll take that into account.

Have you, a family member or close friend ever been charged with a crime, convicted of a crime, or been the subject of a criminal investigation?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

OCR

Case 1:2	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 133 of 236 PageID #: 1472
	JURY SELECTION 308
1	THE COURT: Have you or any member of your family or
2	close friends ever been a witness to a crime?
3	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
4	THE COURT: Have you, a family member or close
5	friend ever been a witness in a grand jury investigation or
6	been questioned in any matter by law enforcement officers?
7	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
8	THE COURT: Have you or any member of your family or
9	close friends been the victim of a crime?
10	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: You said family?
11	THE COURT: You, a family member or close friend.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know if you would call
13	it a crime. My family was robbed it was a home invasion.
14	THE COURT: People were there?
15	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: People came with guns and
16	stuff. I wasn't there. But it was in another country.
17	THE COURT: This is your immediate family?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, it was a long time ago.
19	THE COURT: Were you born at that point or

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I was here. My parents were back home in another country and I didn't know it at the time but I found out many years later. They didn't tell me because they didn't want to scare me.

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Is there anything about their experience or you hearing about it years later that would affect your

JURY SELECTION 309 1 ability to be fair and impartial in this case? This is not a 2 home invasion case. 3 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I don't think that would 4 impact this case because there's no --5 THE COURT: But if you were called upon to be a 6 juror in a home invasion case that might be a problem? 7 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, yes. THE COURT: But not this case? 8 9 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That incident wouldn't 10 affect this case. 11 THE COURT: Have you, a family member or a close 12 friend ever been a party to a legal action against the United 13 States or have a dispute with the United States or any of its 14 agencies or employees? 15 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 16 THE COURT: Now, this case has received some degree 17 of media attention. Have you read, heard or seen anything in 18 the media about this case or the defendant Douglas Mackey 19 a/k/a Ricky Vaughn? 20 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 21 THE COURT: Have you done any internet searches 22 since being called upon to be a juror in this --23 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 24 THE COURT: This case involves various individuals 25 including the defendant, Douglas Mackey, who had strong

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

political preferences during the 2016 presidential election campaign, including individuals who supported president Donald Trump and individuals who supported presidential candidate Hilary Clinton. Do you have strong feelings or opinions related to the 2016 presidential election campaign or the two candidates in that campaign such that it would overcome your duty to judge the facts in this case impartially and fairly? THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: Do you have any experience with what you perceive to be deliberately false or misleading information information on the internet or social media? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Can you repeat it again. THE COURT: I smile because many people have asked me to repeat that question. It's not artfully drafted I apologize. Do you have any experience with what you perceived to be deliberately false or misleading information on the internet or on social media? THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: At trial you may hear some language that you may find to be offensive. You should not assess that evidence based on whether or not it is offensive but rather on whether the evidence tends to prove or disprove the elements of the crime. If you found some evidence to be offensive would you be able to put aside your feelings about it and objectively hear it and consider it fairly and impartially.

<b>5</b> 450 <b>1</b>	JURY SELECTION 311
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
2	THE COURT: You live in Brooklyn?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Queens.
4	THE COURT: You live in Woodside.
5	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
6	THE COURT: How long?
7	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I mean at this particular
8	address six years but in general I think, like, 20 years.
9	THE COURT: Do you own or do you rent?
10	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Own.
11	THE COURT: Do you live with anyone?
12	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My husband and my daughter.
13	THE COURT: What does your husband do for a living?
14	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He works in IT.
15	THE COURT: And how old is your daughter?
16	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: She's 12.
17	THE COURT: And what do you do for a living?
18	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work in HR and I
19	specialize in benefits.
20	THE COURT: Thank you. What is the highest level of
21	education that you completed?
22	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Bachelor's degree.
23	THE COURT: Have you ever served in the military?
24	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
25	THE COURT: Where do you get your news from;

Case 1:2	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 137 of 236 PageID #: 1476
	JURY SELECTION 312
1	newspapers, radios, TV, internet, podcasts, other sources, all
2	of the above, how?
3	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The internet.
4	THE COURT: Is tere any particular sources of
5	internet news?
6	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think my browser just
7	opens up to MSN.com and I read what's there. Sometimes I go
8	to the New York Times.
9	THE COURT: All right, fair enough. Do you use the
10	internet other than what you said do you use the internet
11	and social media?
12	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Facebook, Instagram, those
13	are the two main ones.
14	THE COURT: Do you have a Twitter account. TikTok?
15	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I don't use those.
16	THE COURT: How often do you use Facebook and
17	Instagram?
18	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Daily.
19	THE COURT: And how do you use them? Just looking
20	what's on your feed?
21	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah it's on my phone and so
22	I just check Instagram and once a day at least I check
23	Facebook just true the April.

THE COURT: Are you concerned about the reliability of the information that you find on the internet and social

24

media?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Actually, these days, yes because I've heard of, like, bots in China -- you know, putting up fake reviews and fake comments and fake articles so, yes, these days yes. I don't trust what I see or hear even if it's a video it could be doctored. It's difficult to believe anything these days.

THE COURT: Okay. This case involves allegations of false or misleading information that's spread on the internet and through media. Given your concern about the reliability of the information, would your concern affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case, meaning would you be inclined towards one side or the other or be unable to objectively hear the evidence presented in court and weigh it, only -- before you answer, no case is decided on what happens outside of the courtroom. It's what evidence is presented and admitted into the trial and you have to confine yourself to that.

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, I mean, so given the fact that I believe that pictures can be doctored, videos can be doctored, articles can be manipulated. I honestly don't believe anyone or anything these days because I don't know how many hands it went through before it got to me. Not to say anything about law enforcement. It's still people.

So, I -- I think it may impact me because like I

OCR

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JURY SELECTION 314 said it's difficult to trust anyone or anything you see these days especially with deep fake you're seeing someone who looks like an actor but it's really not that person so it's difficult to say what's true or not these days irrespective of where it's coming from. THE COURT: Not withstanding what I will call a healthy skepticism of what you see on the internet and social media do you think you would be able to be a fair and impartial juror in this case which involves allegations of false and misleading information that was distributed through the internet and social media where the evidence would be presented in court and you would have to decide what's what. I mean, I can try to be PROSPECTIVE JUROR: objective but I think there may be an element of second guessing what I'm seeing and I may be biased unconsciously so I may not be 100 percent -- I don't know what the word is. MR. FRISCH: May we approach Your Honor? THE COURT: Sure. (Continued on the next page.) (Sidebar conference.)

# SIDEBAR CONFERENCE

(The following occurred at sidebar.)

MR. FRISCH: There's something about the case you don't know which is that the conspirators or people on the internet or in these chat rooms doctored photographs of celebrities and so, for example, there's pictures of Justin Bieber in a MAGA cap and Iggy Azalea. I don't know how to spell it, in a MAGA cap and so I think that we probably -- my colleagues and I probably share that this may be too close to the bond.

MR. GULLOTTA: I guess that's fair. I mean she's lodged a healthy skepticism and I think that's accurate. I don't know that that renders her unable to separate what she's seen online in the past from what she sees at trial. I feel like we're going to have a implement with anyone who answers that question that something who heard or seen something online that's false. I haven't gotten a sense from any of the answers to your questions that she's an unsuitable juror. So, I don't know that that renders her unqualified.

MR. FRISCH: I think it does. She's talking about an element of secondguessing. She's talking about shutting down her Facebook. This is her getting tired, but shutting it down because of the false or fake information and when she talked about fake photos of celebrities which we know in this case not is done. It's part of life to show how things are manufactured.

OCR

# SIDEBAR CONFERENCE

MR. GULLOTTA: The truth and falsity of the documents are not under dispute. They're obviously false. The question is whether she would have a problem bing an impartial juror knowing he's associated with people who are making false things. She seemed to have concern about her own ability to tell the deep fake from the real thing. That's not at issue in this case. It's all clearly false. I think that's to provoke strong responses but there may be a clarifying question on that.

THE COURT: All of the things that are going to be shown, all of the things that were distributed on the internet or social media is it conceded that they're false?

MR. FRISCH: Yes, but I don't think -- all of these are photoshopped photographs of celebrities like the two I mentioned. I appreciate your effort to characterize it as you did but she's going to get turned off by anything she sees she's going to go, this is what I see online. There's an element of second guessing, an element of bias it's, just too close.

MR. GULLOTTA: I don't think she said bias. I understand where Mr. Frisch is coming from it cuts both ways but if you have a juror that would not be fooled because she has this level of skepticism I don't know if it renders her biased towards the Government or defense. She's bringing her outside experiences to a courtroom like every juror is

# SIDEBAR CONFERENCE

supposed to do. If she can separate it from the evidence she can do that. If she can't do that, she's not qualified.

THE COURT: I mean, she's not going to be called upon to determine whether something is false or not.

MR. PAULSEN: No.

THE COURT: If it's conceded that it's false.

MR. PAULSEN: It's whether she's frustrated at having seen these things before.

MR. GULLOTTA: If it would generate an emotional response she can't overcome, that's different.

MR. FRISCH: I've made my challenge. She -- she specifically on her own -- look, we all want to get through this but we can't do it too quickly. I'm a little bit tired. I can be eloquent but not right now.

MR. GULLOTTA: Deep breath.

MR. FRISCH: The time that we take now is going to save time later on if we have a mistrial or we have a problem or something comes up something is in her mind, what do we do and then we lost a juror and then it's a bigger waste of time and a bigger problem. She's going to see these photos. We can parse it. We're all very smart people. We can parse it any way we want but the fact of the matter is she specifically discussed and mentioned photo apps of celebrities -- fake photographs of celebrities and that's what alleged conspirators in this case did in an election with regard to

	SIDEBAR CONFERENCE 318
1	very well-known people. Even I know Iggy Azlalea. I don't
2	remember the song, but I do now. I just think she's not the
3	right juror for this case.
4	THE COURT: I'll ask her another question and I'll
5	see.
6	MR. GULLOTTA: Thanks.
7	(End of sidebar conference.)
8	(Continued on the next page.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

THE COURT: Ms. Gyalnang, if there is evidence presented in this case of admittedly false and misleading information distributed on the internet and social media including pictures that are admittedly doctored, so no one disputes that these are false, would that -- would knowing that and the question is not -- knowing that, would that affect your ability to be fair and impartial to judge the evidence and say we know that these are admittedly false, doctored, pictures; now let's move on to answer the other questions in the case; does that and the other evidence indicates proof beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Mackey committed a crime?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If the person admitted that they doctored those pictueres?

THE COURT: From what I understand it is not disputed that the -- that the things distributed through the internet and contained -- are doctored images and other false and misleading information. That's not something that you're going to have to be -- you're going to be asked to decide as a juror. It's other things. If you're still uncomfortable with it, tell me.

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't understand it.

THE COURT: The allegations here are that information was distributed through the internet and social media that was false and misleading and it was about the 2016

1	presidential election. That information contained and
2	those distributions through the internet and social media
3	contained at least in part admittedly doctored images. No
4	dispute there. You will see that evidence, you will be called
5	upon as a juror to listen to it, see it and other evidence to
6	determine whether or not a crime has been committed and it, of
7	course, is the Government burden to prove that beyond a
8	reasonable doubt, to over come the presumption of innocence
9	that Mr. Mackey has.
10	In light of that, what I just explained to you, do
11	you think you can be fair and impartial? In other words,
12	you're not being called upon to say is this a doctored image.
13	There's no question that it is. It's admitted. So you're
14	not the reasons that caused you to stop looking at
15	Facebook, that's not at play in this case.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I think I can do it, yeah.
17	THE COURT: Did I ask you and so you think
18	knowing that you can be fair and impartial and judge the
19	evidence for what it's worth, put the Government to its proof,
20	to prove beyond a reasonable doubt all of the other
21	individuals elements of the crime in order to determine the
22	case?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
24	(Continued on the following page.)
25	

	JURY SELECTION 321
1	THE COURT: Did I ask you if you had any hobbies?
2	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
3	THE COURT: Did I ask you whether you had been
4	involved in voter education or registration or Get Out the
5	Vote efforts?
6	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
7	THE COURT: Have you been?
8	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: So the first question,
9	hobbies?
10	THE COURT: Let's go with the first question, voter
11	education or voter registration or Get Out the Vote. Have you
12	ever done that?
13	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
14	THE COURT: Do you have any hobbies or how do you
15	spend your leisure time?
16	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I just walk my dog.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any television shows
18	or radio programs that you regularly watch or listen to?
19	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I just watch Netflix and
20	Prime so just pick a few shows. So detective, sometimes
21	detective stories, detective thrillers.
22	THE COURT: Is there a show you're binging now?
23	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think I just started the
24	night manager.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Fiction.

JURY SELECTION

1 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: Largely fiction.

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: But I do watch, like, detective series like Endeavor, BBC Masterpiece. I can't remember. Yes. So those kind of, those kind of shows.

THE COURT: Is there any reason why you cannot be fair and impartial in this case?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The only thing I'll say is I don't know the facts of this case but it seems not such, you know, compared to murder and things like that. It seems, like, sort of white collar crime. So I don't know how severely this person is going to be punished. So I just feel like I don't want to be responsible for putting someone in jail and then, you know, like, the effects of jail are really harsh on a person. It takes, you know -- it's a huge toll on a person so that, I think, may affect me a little. I think there are bigger crimes out there, not that this is not a crime. I don't know much about it but I just don't know if it's worth this much effort and, you know, like, putting someone in jail not knowing the facts of the case.

THE COURT: Punishment is an issue for

Judge Garaufis to decide. Whether a sentence of incarceration should be imposed, that is completely up to him, and it's not something that the jury will be asked to do. All the jury will be asked to do is to determine whether the government has

*FCRR* 

satisfied its burden of proof to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Mackey is guilty of the crime that has been charged. So that is -- you don't have to concern yourself with that. That is not on you, as they say, but I understand what you're saying.

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I would just feel somewhat guilty that I played a part in someone possibly going

somewhat guilty that I played a part in someone possibly going to jail where you're otherwise abused. That trauma takes years to get over it. People never get over it. I watch prisoner-type shows where I know terrible things happen and I kind of believe in second chances.

THE COURT: Would that concern overcome your duty to be fair and impartial to both sides of the case? In other words, you would side towards finding Mr. Mackey not guilty because you're concerned that he might go to prison?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think that guilt -- I will try to be objective, but I don't know if it unconsciously, I kind of don't want that guilt of being part of this --

THE COURT: Okay.

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: -- given the nature of the crime.

THE COURT: Will you be able to set aside any sympathies or biases you may have for any of the parties in this case and render an impartial verdict based solely on the evidence and the law as given to you by Judge Garaufis?

Case 1:	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 149 of 236 PageID #: 1488
	JURY SELECTION 324
1	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anything else from
3	the
4	MR. GULLOTTA: Can we have a sidebar?
5	THE COURT: Sure.
6	(Continued on the next page.)
7	(Sidebar conference.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CMH OCR RDR FCRR

## SIDEBAR CONFERENCE 325 1 (The following occurred at sidebar.) 2 THE COURT: The shoe's on the other foot? 3 MR. GULLOTTA: Yes, it's a little awkward but it's a 4 different topic and, obviously, she's expressed pretty severe 5 reservations about being able to render a quilty verdict 6 regardless of her evaluation of the evidence. So I wanted to 7 raise that and address that with the Court because that's a 8 separate topic. It doesn't change my position on the earlier 9 topic but we have someone who now, even if she's able to put 10 aside whatever her feelings were about doctored photos and 11 evaluate the evidence objectively, she might still render a 12 not guilty verdict simply because she doesn't want to put him 13 in prison, a whole different subject. 14 MR. FRISCH: I don't feel she's the right juror for 15 this case. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 (In open court; sidebar ends.) 18 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Gyalnang. I'm going to 19 excuse you from this jury. You can go to the Central Jury 20 Room and they'll give you further instructions. 21 (Prospective juror excused.) 22 (End of sidebar conference.) 23 (Continued on the next page.) 24 25

Case 1:	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 151 of 236 PageID #: 1490
	JURY SELECTION 326
1	THE COURT: Do you want to try to go through one
2	more person before 3:15?
3	MR. GULLOTTA: Sure.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Katarzyna Mojescik, Number 36,
5	raised her card to number 18 .
6	(Prospective juror enters.)
7	THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon, Ms. Mojescik.
8	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Good afternoon.
9	THE COURT: Did I pronounce your name correctly?
10	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Katarzyna Mojescik.
11	THE COURT: You raised your juror number yesterday
12	in the big courtroom indicating that you, a family member or
13	close friend worked for a law enforcement agency or
14	organization.
15	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My - I understood that was
16	the question about NYPD police department. So my daughter has
17	ex-boyfriend was the police officer. This is what I
18	THE COURT: Okay. So it's your daughter's
19	ex-boyfriend?
20	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, but she's married other
21	man.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about their
23	relationship, him being a, their former relationship and him

being a cop that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?

24

	JURY SELECTION 327
1	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
2	THE COURT: Okay. The trial is going to start on
3	either the 16th, on Thursday the 16th or Monday the 20th, and
4	it will last either until the 28th at the latest or the 31st
5	at the latest, depending on when it starts. We don't know
6	yet. We'll know later, a little later today when the judge is
7	going to actually have the trial, but is there any reason why
8	serving on this jury for that period of time would present a
9	genuine hardship for you?
10	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. Actually, I have the
11	last, March 31st, I have exhibit of, I am part of the exhibit
12	of photography so we have the last day so, afternoon. So I
13	would be want to
14	THE COURT: You'd want to go to that?
15	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: But this is not issue. I
16	can skip this event.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
18	Have you, a family member or close friend ever been
19	charged with a crime, convicted of a crime or been the subject
20	of a criminal investigation?
21	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
22	THE COURT: Have you or any member of your family or
23	close friends ever been a witness to a crime?
24	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
25	THE COURT: Have you, a family member or close

JURY SELECTION

328

1 friend ever been a witness in a grand jury investigation or 2 been questioned in any matter by law enforcement officers? 3 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't recall. My husband 4 serve in trial but I don't know the case. 5 THE COURT: Was he on trial? Was he the defendant 6 in the case? 7 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, as me, as a judge -- I 8 mean this same position as I am now. 9 THE COURT: I see. Your husband served on a jury? 10 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. Yes. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Have you or any member of your 12 family or close friends been the victim of a crime? 13 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 14 THE COURT: Have you, a family member or close 15 friend ever been a party to a legal action or a dispute 16 against the United States of America, its agencies or its 17 employees? 18 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 19 THE COURT: This case has received some degree of 20 media attention. Have you read, heard or seen anything in the 21 media about this case or about the defendant, Douglass Mackey, 22 who was otherwise known as Ricky Vaughn? THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 23 24 THE COURT: This case involves various individuals 25 including the defendant, Mr. Mackey, who had strong political

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JURY SELECTION 329 preferences during the 2016 Presidential election campaign including individuals who supported President Donald Trump and individuals who supported Presidential candidate Hillary Clinton. Do you have strong feelings or opinions related to the 2016 Presidential campaign or to the two candidates in that campaign such that it would overcome your duty to judge the facts in this case fairly and impartially? THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm not clear about this question. THE COURT: Okay. So the testimony will be that there are people involved in this case who had strong beliefs about the 2016 Presidential election. Some supported President Trump. Others may have supported Hillary Clinton. Do you have such strong feelings about either President Trump or Hillary Clinton or the 2016 Presidential campaign and election that would overcome your duty to judge this case fairly and impartially and not based on any bias or prejudice or sympathy you may have for either of those folks? THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I'm just -- I don't have any, like, attachment as in feelings.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any experience with what you perceived to be false or misleading information on the internet or social media?

OCR

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm not clear with this

Case 1::	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 155 of 236 PageID #: 1494
	JURY SELECTION 330
1	question.
2	THE COURT: I'll ask it again.
3	So are you on the internet? Do you have social
4	media?
5	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I have some, Instagram
6	and Facebook.
7	THE COURT: Instagram and Facebook? Okay. Have you
8	had any experiences on Instagram or Facebook or on the
9	internet in general where you perceived something that you saw
10	there to be deliberately false or misleading?
11	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm I use only Facebook
12	for, like, follow my friends and just for, not for any, like,
13	political issues.
14	THE COURT: Or news or anything like that?
15	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. No.
16	THE COURT: But on Facebook, it has a thing called a
17	feed where you get they send you things.
18	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Like, I don't even see this
19	kind of feeds because I'm I just use, like, more for
20	contact with my friends or some, like, pages I like for the
21	art or something like that.
22	THE COURT: Okay. At trial, you may hear some
23	language that you find to be offensive. You shouldn't assess
24	that evidence based on whether you find it to be offensive or

not but, rather, on whether that evidence tends to prove or

1 disprove the elements of the crime.

If you found some evidence to be offensive, would you be able to put aside your feelings about its offensiveness and judge that evidence and all the evidence in the case objectively, impartially and fairly?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: This is new experience for me so I, I don't know, that it's, like, for me something completely new so I don't know.

THE COURT: It's new for a lot of people who never served on a jury before.

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, so...

THE COURT: The judge will instruct you that you have to evaluate the evidence that's presented without your personal feelings about it. You have to put all of that aside. You have to just judge the evidence what's called objectively, fairly and impartially without any bias or relying on your feelings. Can you do that?

Can you look at a piece of evidence that you may find the words used to be offensive and put aside whatever feelings you have about it being offensive and just see whether that evidence tends to support or not support the charges against Mr. Mackey?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I will try but this is something, new experience for me so I will try the best I'm able to.

	JURY SELECTION 332
1	THE COURT: Okay. You live in Brooklyn?
2	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
3	THE COURT: How long have you lived in Brooklyn?
4	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: In Brooklyn, over 20 years.
5	THE COURT: Do you own or do you rent your home?
6	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I own home with my husband.
7	THE COURT: Other than your husband, does anyone
8	live with you in the home?
9	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, we live together.
10	THE COURT: No, other than the two of you.
11	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, we have a few
12	apartments in the building which we rent.
13	THE COURT: Okay. But in your apartment, it's just
14	the two of you?
15	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
16	THE COURT: What does your husband do for a living?
17	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My husband, he's project
18	manager working in construction field.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And you are a photographer?
20	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I mean I do, photography is
21	my passion.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Do you work otherwise?
23	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I'm work. I'm, I'm
24	design clothes.
25	THE COURT: You design clothes?

THE COURT: It's just whatever comes up?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

24

(Prospective juror exits.) (Recess taken.) (Court resumes.) THE CLERK: Do you want to finish with Juror 36?

24

	333
1	THE COURT: Before I do, I'm inclined to let
2	everyone who is in 2F, the ones who have been cleared already,
3	to go home for the day. I will go in and give them the
4	appropriate admonitions and then release them and return
5	tomorrow at, tomorrow after lunch, because we're not going to
6	be done before then.
7	Is there any objection to that?
8	MR. GULLOTTA: No, Your Honor. You mean the 16 that
9	have been selected?
10	THE COURT: Yes.
11	MR. FRISCH: No objection.
12	MR. GULLOTTA: No objection.
13	THE COURT: Let me do that now and then we'll
14	continue with Ms. Mojescik.
15	MR. FRISCH: And just to protect the record, you'll
16	give an instruction to these folks not to seek out media, not
17	to look at media and all that?
18	THE COURT: Yes, not to talk to anybody about the
19	case, not to talk to you in the halls and to report any
20	attempts to contact them.
21	MR. GULLOTTA: And, Your Honor, the jurors know that
22	we're not supposed to talk to them, right?
23	THE COURT: Yes.
24	MR. GULLOTTA: So if we see them in the hallway,
25	we're not being rude.

JURY SELECTION 336 1 THE COURT: I'll remind them of that. 2 (The following occurred in Courtroom 2F; prospective 3 jurors present.) 4 THE COURT: Good news but not great news and perhaps 5 some bad news. 6 The bad news is we're going to have to continue the 7 jury selection tomorrow. We're going very slowly, 8 unfortunately, and we will not be done with the pool of, 9 selecting the pool of 36 to 40 people from which the parties 10 will pick the jury. 11 The good news is I'm releasing you today. You can 12 go home or go to work or go watch a movie, whatever you want 13 I would like you to come back tomorrow at 2:00 p.m. to do. 14 because what's going to happen is we're going to do what we 15 did with you for the rest of the day and in the morning 16 tomorrow and hopefully by then we will have enough folks to 17 add to you to have our group of 36 to 40 people. I can't 18 quarantee that we'll reach that by 2 o'clock. It's going to 19 be my goal. Then when you come back and join them, the 20 parties will exercise their peremptory challenges and we will 21 have our jury. 22 The trial is not going to start on Thursday. It's 23 going to start on Monday. Judge Garaufis just had a 24

conference with the parties and decided to start it on Monday but we'll give you further instructions on that once we pick

## JURY SELECTION

1 the jury.

So go home or go wherever you want. What's the saying? You don't have to go home but you can't stay here or something like that.

I need to impress upon you folks not to do any internet research about this case. If you see something pop up on your cell phone or you see something on TV, don't look at it, turn it off, change the channel, don't read it if you see it in the papers and certainly don't do any independent research about it.

If you see any of the attorneys or the parties in the hall tomorrow when you come back or throughout the life of the trial, you don't talk to them. They're not going to talk to you. They may not even acknowledge you. They know you're there but they're not going to say hello or anything like that because interactions between the parties and the jurors have to be in court.

If anyone approaches you during jury selection or if you're selected as a juror and asks you about the case or anything like that, you need to bring that to the Court's attention. No one should be having any interaction with you anywhere other than in court.

If you're asked by your loved ones, just tell them, "I am in the process of being selected for a jury to serve in a criminal case," and that's it, "I can't tell you anything

## JURY SELECTION

1 about it," because you actually don't know anything about it.

You just know the allegations. There's no evidence yet. So

3 that's what we're going to do.

Oh, Ms. Santana, okay. I'll just recap for Ms. Santana.

So we're going to -- the trial is going to start Monday. The jury selection will continue through tomorrow. You can go home now, come back tomorrow at 2:00 p.m., and by then, we hope to have the remainder of the panel from which we're going to pick the jurors.

Don't do any internet research. Don't talk to anyone about the case. If anyone approaches you about it, please let me know. If you see any of the lawyers out in the hallway or the parties, don't talk to them. They're not going to talk to you. And if you see anything on TV or anything like that or in the papers, don't read it, don't watch it.

Okay?

Thank you, folks. I'm really sorry this is taking so long. This is unusual. I have had only one other jury selection go two days. I have never had a jury selection go three days.

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just a question. Regardless of whether I'm picked or not, does that mean that most likely we do not have to be here Thursday and Friday or you don't know that yet?

	JURY SELECTION 339
1	THE COURT: The only way you would have to be here
2	Thursday or Friday is if the jury selection lasted that long
3	and I seriously doubt it.
4	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.
5	THE COURT: We'll have a better idea tomorrow
6	afternoon.
7	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.
8	THE COURT: But the trial is not going to start
9	until Monday. So if we finish tomorrow with the jury
10	selection, your Thursday and Friday are yours, you can meet
11	with the landscape architect.
12	All right. Thank you, folks.
13	(Continued on next page.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CMH OCR RDR FCRR

JURY SELECTION

342

1 THE COURT: So in order to -- well, from what you do 2 know about the case, and of course you haven't seen any of the 3 evidence yet, there's an allegation that Mr. Mackey conspired 4 with others to distribute false or misleading information on 5 the internet concerning the 2016 presidential election 6 campaign. 7 With that basic understanding of the allegations, do 8 you see any reason why you could not be fair and impartial in 9 this case? 10 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know, but this is 11 really hard for me to say now. I would try to be objective. THE COURT: You will be objective. 12 13 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I will try, but this is 14 really hard to... 15 THE COURT: Is it hard in the sense that you're 16 nervous? 17 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, I'm so nervous. 18 THE COURT: That's completely understandable. 19 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: This is so... I'm pretty 20 nervous. 21 THE COURT: Okay. That's completely understandable. 22 But is there anything that would -- that immediately 23 comes to your mind that says, oh, yeah, I would be favored --I would favor one side over the other in this case? I don't 24 25 know which side it would be, but anything comes to your mind

it on TV, hear it on the radio, see it in your Facebook or

don't know if you see the job listings on the list.

ability to be fair and impartial in this case?

THE COURT: Did you -- is that going to impact your

24

JURY SELECTION 346 1 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, it wouldn't. 2 THE COURT: And there should be no overlap in the 3 law, between that and this, but you have to take the law from 4 Judge Garaufis. He'll tell you the final jury instructions 5 and what the law is. 6 You can apply his law? 7 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, that's fine. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 And you had indicated also that you have close 10 friends or relatives who are lawyers or work for lawyers or a 11 judge or in the courthouse. 12 Tell me about that, please. 13 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have friends who I used to 14 work with at Prisoners Legal Services of New York. So I used 15 to work in their comptroller -- yeah, Office of the 16 Comptroller there. And, yeah, I have friends who are private 17 lawyers. Friends who are in law school. And I have some 18 friends who have retired. Were lawyers for like EPA and what 19 else? Oh, also I've worked in a field where I interact with

THE COURT: Okay. You are not a lawyer, though?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I'm not.

THE COURT: So my questions.

20

21

22

23

24

25

lawyers.

Your work experience with these people, friendships, you may have discussed the law. Highly unlikely that unless

been charged with a crime, convicted of a crime or been the

You, or a member of your family or close friend ever

THE COURT: Sure.

23

24

THE COURT: This case involves various individuals,

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, actually.

24

you came across issues of deliberately false and misleading information?

24

25

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I worked within internal

THE COURT: Would any of your experiences with such information affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

THE COURT: At trial, you may hear some language that you may find to be offensive, and you shouldn't assess evidence based on whether you find it to be offensive or not. But based on whether that evidence tends to prove or disprove the elements of the crime.

If you found some of the evidence offensive, would you be able to put aside any feelings of offensiveness and objectively hear and consider the evidence fair and

They have like comedy videos that unpack the news. So like

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I watch YouTube sometimes.

24

reliability of the information that you find on the internet

THE COURT: Okay. Are you concerned about the

24

JURY SELECTION 355 1 and social media? 2 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I try to be as 3 objective as I can be but, you know, we're all human we all 4 have own other biases so we just have to do our best. 5 THE COURT: Will your concerns about the reliability 6 of information you find on the internet and social media 7 affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case? 8 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think it will impact 9 my ability. 10 THE COURT: Have you ever been involved in voter 11 education, voter registration, or any "get out the vote" 12 efforts? 13 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. In 2006 I was part of 14 the John Kerry campaign all over Massachusetts as part of an 15 internship. 16 THE COURT: And part of that was voter education and 17 registration? 18 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: We reached out to 19 independent voters. 20 THE COURT: But is that the only thing? The only 21 time? 22 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, probably the -- yeah.

23 In regards to voting, yes.

24 THE COURT: Other than your dog's Instagram account,

25 do you have any hobbies?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I hesitate, but it's only

would cause you to favor one side over the other?

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Judge Garaufis?

JURY SELECTION 357 because I have a family member who has very different views then I do, but I feel like it's important to hear him out as well. Even though we have opposing views, I try to like be patient and listen. So I think no but it is also, you know, like up to, you know, like hear I can't, I can't. THE COURT: Does it depend on -- so if the government -- the government has the burden of proof here, proof beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Mackey is guilty. If the government would fail to meet that burden of proof in your mind, it wouldn't -- it didn't -- it wouldn't give you or it didn't give you sufficient evidence from which you could determine beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Mackey is quilty, would you have any hesitancy in rendering a verdict of not quilty? THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No hesitancy. THE COURT: Flip side. If the government would prove beyond a reasonable doubt to you that Mr. Mackey was guilty, would you have any hesitancy in rendering a verdict of guilty? THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: Will you be able to set aside any sympathies or biases you may have for any of the parties in this case and render an impartial verdict based solely on the evidence presented in court and the law as given to you by

Case 1:2	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 183 of 236 PageID #: 1522
	JURY SELECTION 358
1	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Any follow-up questions for Ms. Lin?
3	MR. GULLOTTA: No, Your Honor.
4	MR. FRISCH: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
5	THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Lin.
6	So you have made it past this round. We're going to
7	continue the jury selection with your colleagues now. We are
8	far short of the number that we need for to pick the jury,
9	so we're going to have to continue tomorrow.
10	But you are excused you're not excused, I'm
11	sending you home, or to wherever you want to go, and you need
12	to come back tomorrow at 2 p.m. to continue the selection.
13	At that point I hope we have we'll have enough
14	folks for our pool from which we will exercise, or the lawyers
15	will exercise their preemptory challenges and come up to a
16	jury.
17	Don't talk to anyone about the case. Don't do any
18	internet research. If you see anything on the internet, on
19	TV, in the papers, ignore it.
20	If you see the lawyers in the hall, they're not
21	going to talk to you. They're not being rude. You shouldn't
22	talk to them. Interactions can only happen in a courtroom.
23	If anyone approaches you about the case and wants to
24	talk to you about it, you need to let me know. Okay?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR:

Okay.

THE COURT: With the New York City Police
Department?

24

JURY SELECTION	361
----------------	-----

1 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I believe so, yes.

2 THE COURT: Is there anything about that

relationship that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case.

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I don't know if you've learned anything about the law from him, but same thing with your business law courses. It's Judge Garaufis, he's the arbiter of the law here.

You can follow his law?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh, yes. Understand.

THE COURT: Okay, great.

So the case is going to start, the trial, on Monday March 20th. Judge Garaufis just moved it slightly and it's set to conclude no later than March 31st.

Is there any reason why severing on the jury during that period of time would cause you a genuine hardship?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't believe so. I just have engagements for the end of April. So I don't believe it has any...

THE COURT: If the trial's still going on then, we got a problem. Not happening.

Have you, a family member or close friend ever been charged with a crime, convicted of a crime, been the subject of a criminal investigation, a witness to a crime, involved in

362

1 a grand jury investigation, or questioned by law enforcement, 2 or a victim of a crime? 3 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not to my knowledge, no. 4 THE COURT: What about the same group of people, 5 you, family member or close friend ever been a party to a 6 legal action or dispute against the United States any of its 7 agencies or employees? 8 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 9 THE COURT: Now this case has received some degree 10 of media attention. 11 Have you read, heard or seen anything in the media 12 about this case or about the defendant Douglass Mackey, 13 otherwise known as Ricky Vaughn? 14 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I haven't. 15 THE COURT: This case involve individuals, including the defendant Douglass Mackey, who had strong political 16 17 preferences during the 2016 presidential election campaign, 18 including individuals who supported President Donald Trump and 19 individuals who supported presidential candidate Hillary 20 Clinton. 21 Do you have strong feelings or opinions related to 22 the 2016 presidential election campaign, or to those two 23 candidates, such that it would overcome your duty to be fair 24 and impartial in the case? 25 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I do.

1 dance. So dance and reading.

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: What television shows or radio programs

do you regularly watch or listen to? Not news related.

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't really listen to the radio. But I watch a lot of like shows on different platforms like Netflix or Hulu.

7 THE COURT: Can you be fair and impartial in this 8 case?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh. Correct.

THE COURT: Is there anything about this case that would cause you to favor one side over the other?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No

THE COURT: Will you be able to set aside any sympathies or biases you may have for any of the parties in this case and render an impartial verdict based solely on the evidence presented in court and the law as given to by Judge Garaufis?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: Any questions? Follow up?

MR. GULLOTTA: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

MR. FRISCH: No questions.

THE COURT: Ms. Webb, you have made it past this round. You're going to have to come back tomorrow at 2 p.m. to continue. Hopefully at that point we'll have enough folks that we can pick our jury, and it will be over tomorrow

LINDA D. DANELCZYK, RPR, CSR, CCR Official Court Reporter

case 1:	21-cr-00080-AMD
	JURY SELECTION 368
1	afternoon. Well, the selection will be over.
2	You can go home now. Please do not do any internet
3	research about this case or about Mr. Mackey.
4	Do not talk to anyone about it. You can simply say
5	I'm in jury selection in a criminal case.
6	If you see any of the parties or the lawyers, don't
7	talk to them. They will not talk to you. They are not being
8	rude, they're simply following the rules, which require all
9	contact with jurors to be in court.
10	If anyone approaches you about this case, please let
11	me know. Okay? And you can do that through Miriam or my law
12	clerks. All right?
13	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.
14	THE COURT: Have a great evening.
15	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you, Judge.
16	(Prospective juror exits the courtroom.)
17	(Pause in the proceedings.)

17 (Pause in the proceedings.)

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Juror Number 82, Ms. Fizza Aijaz, has indicated that she's missed two classes already and she has a lab tomorrow that she is going to miss unless she is excused.

Any objection to excusing her?

MR. GULLOTTA: No objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Tell Ms. Aijaz -- quietly tell, Ms. Aijaz, Juror Number 82, that she is excused. Pull her outside before you do that.

THE COURT: What we're going to do, unless there's any objection is jurors -- there's seven people we can get through today maybe.

MR. GULLOTTA: Let's do it.

THE COURT: Jurors through number 45 have to stay. Everyone else can leave and come back tomorrow at 9:15. Give them the other information that jury selection will continue tomorrow at 9:15, trial is Monday the 20th and tell -- actually, you know what -- we've got to give them warnings about research.

MR. FRISCH: We do.

THE COURT: I'm going to go to the Central Jury Room and give them the instruction before they go.

(Pause in proceedings.)

(Continuing in Central Jury Room.)

THE COURT: Can everyone hear me back there? I'll give it a minute for your colleagues to filter in. I want to say this once and not twice. Those of you not in the room, make sure you can hear me because it's important that you know what's going on. If you can't hear me get closer.

Folks, a couple of things: Judge Garaufis has moved the trial to Monday, March 20th through Friday, March 31st at the latest. It's just like the period we had before. It's likely that the trial isn't going to go all the way to March 31st. It's probably going to end before then but we wanted to

## JURY SELECTION

give you enough warning so you can leave enough time if you're selected for this jury.

Process is taking far longer than I had hoped. I have been a magistrate judge for 17 years. I have picked dozens of juries and only once has it taken me two days to pick a jury, which we've already hit, so this is unusual and I apologize and I'll take the blame for that.

We're going to let many of you go today and come back tomorrow. I don't want to hold you here unnecessarily and we're not going to be able to get to everyone today. So jurors with a number 46 or higher can go home now or go somewhere else. You don't have to stay here, but wait, wait. This is very important: Do not do any internet research on this case or on the defendant. If you see anything in the news on TV, hear anything on the radio, it comes up on your Facebook feed, whatever, I go know it. Turn it off, don't listen to it. Don't talk to anybody about the case. If anyone asks you, a spouse, kids, whatever, just say I'm in the process of a jury selection to serve on a criminal case. That's it.

If you see the lawyers or the parties in the hall tomorrow morning, don't talk to them. They're not going to talk to you. It's not because they're being rude, it's because they're following the rules. Interactions between the parties and the lawyers and the jurors can only take place in

1 the courtroom.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, this is very, very important, if anyone approaches you about this case outside of the court, anywhere, please let me know. People are not supposed to contact jurors, prospective jurors, about anything. So leave them alone and let the process unfolds as it does.

So, again, trial Monday the 20th through Friday, the 31st, Jurors 46 and higher can go home, come back tomorrow, and those up to 45 stay here, we hope to get to you tonight and it's my sincere hope. Come tomorrow at 9:15. Can you do 9 o'clock.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: I can't.

THE COURT: 9 o'clock for everybody.

Juror No. 100, you can come at 9:15.

Thank you, everyone.

(Pause in proceedings.)

(Continuing in Courtroom 2E.)

THE COURT: Juror No. 39, Audrian Ashman.

(Prospective juror enters.)

THE COURT: Good afternoon, Ms. Ashman. Sorry to keep you waiting. Judge Garaufis has adjusted the trial schedule. The trial will start on Monday, March 20th, and will last until March 31st at the very latest.

Is there any reason why that would cause you a general hardship if you were able to sit on this jury?

OCR

1 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: Have you, a family member or close personal friend ever been charged with a crime, convicted of a crime, been the subject of a criminal investigation, been witness to a crime, been subject of a grand jury investigation or the victim of a crime? Basically has anyone in your family or close friends ever been involved in the criminal justice system?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Never.

THE COURT: Have you, a family member or close friend ever been a party to a legal action against or had a dispute with the United States of America, its agencies or employees?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Never.

THE COURT: Now, this case has received some degree of media attention. Have you heard, read or seen anything in the media about this case or about the defendant Douglas

Mackey otherwise known as Ricky Vaughn?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: This case involves various individuals including the defendant Douglas Mackey who had strong political preferences during the 2016 presidential election campaign including individuals who supported President Donald Trump and individuals who supported presidential candidate Hilary Clinton.

374

1 Do you have strong feelings or opinions related to 2 the 2016 presidential election campaign or those candidates 3 such that it would overcome your duty to be fair and impartial in this case? 5 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 6 THE COURT: Do you have any experience with what you 7 perceived to be deliberately false and misleading information 8 on the internet or social media? 9 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 10 THE COURT: At trial you will hear some language 11 that you will find to be offensive. You should not assess 12 that evidence on whether you find it to be offensive or not 13 but rather on whether that evidence tends to prove or 14 disapprove the elements of the crime. If you found some of 15 the evidence to be offensive would you be able to put aside 16 your feelings about its offensiveness and judge that evidence 17 fairly and objectively, yes or no? 18 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 19 THE COURT: You live in Howard Beach? 20 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 21 THE COURT: How long? 22 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: An hour. 23 THE COURT: How long have you lived there? 24 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Ten years. 25 THE COURT: I laughed because a number of jurors

2000 200	JURY SELECTION 375
1	said half an hour. Okay, ten years, good. Do you own or do
2	you rent?
3	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I own.
4	THE COURT: Do you live with anyone?
5	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I live with my son, my
6	daughter-in-law and my daughter.
7	THE COURT: Do they all work?
8	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
9	THE COURT: What does your son, your
10	daughter-in-law and your daughter do for a living?
11	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My son is an electrician.
12	My daughter works for The Post Office and my daughter-in-law
13	is a 911 operator.
14	THE COURT: Are you working?
15	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
16	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
17	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm a nursing assistant.
18	THE COURT: What is the highest level of education
19	that you completed?
20	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: High school.
21	THE COURT: Have you ever served in the military?
22	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
23	THE COURT: Where do you get your news from;
24	newspapers, radio, television, internet, social media,
25	podcasts?

JURY	CLI		יד רואי
	13 P. L.	ric. I	1 ( ) 1 \

376 JURY SELECTION 1 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sometimes I watch the news 2 if I get a chance, or if I'm at work and the news come on I 3 watch a little bit, but I don't have time to watch the news. 4 THE COURT: When you do watch at home, are there any 5 particular channels that you watch the news on? 6 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, no, not really. 7 THE COURT: Do you use the internet or social media? THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not internet -- no, not 8 9 really. 10 THE COURT: Do you have a Facebook account, Twitter, 11 Instagram, Snapchat? 12 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 13 THE COURT: Have you ever been involved in voter 14 education or voter registration or any get out and vote 15 efforts? 16 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 17 THE COURT: Do you have a hobbies? 18 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not really. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Are there any television shows or 20 radio programs that you regularly watch or listen to? 21 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I like to watch NYPD 22 Blue on Sunday nights when I'm home and I like Fire. It comes 23 on, I think, Friday nights when I'm home. 24 THE COURT: What about radio? 25 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THRY	SELECTION	
OOIVI		

THE COURT: Is there any reason why you can't be a fair and impartial juror in this case?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: Is there anything about this case that would cause you to favor one side over the another?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: Will you be able to set aside any sympathies or biases you may have for any of the parties in this case and render an impartial verdict based solely on the evidence presented in court and the law as given to you by Judge Garaufis?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: Any follow-up questions for Ms. Ashman?

MR. GULLOTTA: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

MR. FRISCH: No, thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Ashman. You've made it past this part. You can go home now. You need to come back tomorrow at 2 p.m. and please don't do any internet research on this case or on the defendant, Douglas Mackey if I. You see anything on the TV or read anything in the papers, put it away, don't watch it. Don't talk with anyone about the case and if you see the lawyers in the halls tomorrow, they're not going to talk to you. You don't talk to them. They're not being rude. They're just fog the rules. If anyone approaches you about the case tomorrow, let me know. Okay. Thank you.

case 1:2	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 203 of 236 PageID #: 1542
	JURY SELECTION 378
1	(Prospective juror exits.)
2	THE COURT: Folks, Juror No. 41, Ms. Chua has
3	prepaid travel from March 25th starting on March 25th.
4	Excuse her?
5	MR. GULLOTTA: The Government does not object.
6	MR. FRISCH: No objection.
7	THE COURT: And 43 has a medical appointment on
8	March 21st. I am inclined to excuse them both. That's Adam
9	Wollman.
10	MR. GULLOTTA: To the extent that he does have that
11	medical appointment, the Government does not object.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Frisch?
13	MR. FRISCH: I have a couple of concerns. They may
14	have figured out what they need to say to go. So it might be
15	worthy I don't know. I'll follow Your Honor's
16	THE COURT: I don't like calling people a liar.
17	MR. FRISCH: That's not what I was saying.
18	THE COURT: Let's go in order. Let's bring in
19	Ms. Berkalieva and then we'll talk to Ms. Chua or do you mind
20	taking them out of order?
21	MR. FRISCH: I don't mind taking them out of order.
22	THE COURT: Bring in Ms. Chau first. I will note
23	that Ms. Chau did raise her paddle to the travel question and
24	so did Mr. Wollman.
25	(Prospective juror enters.)

	JURY SELECTION 379
1	THE COURT: Good afternoon good evening,
2	Ms. Chau.
3	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Hi.
4	THE COURT: Juror No. 41. You indicated that you
5	have either prepaid vacation plans or confirmed medical
6	appointments over the next two weeks that cannot be moved; is
7	that correct?
8	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Correct.
9	THE COURT: Where are you going?
10	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm going to Taiwan on the
11	evening of March 25th.
12	THE COURT: And when he will you be coming back?
13	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: April 23rd.
14	THE COURT: You have confirmed tickets?
15	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
16	THE COURT: How long ago did you make this plan?
17	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Maybe about six weeks ago.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Who are you going to visit, if
19	anyone?
20	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's for a family event.
21	THE COURT: What is the event?
22	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My brother's wedding.
23	THE COURT: Any objection to excusing Ms. Chau.
24	MR. GULLOTTA: No objection, Your Honor.
25	MR. FRISCH: No objection, Judge.

Case 1:2	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 205 of 236 PageID #: 1544
	JURY SELECTION 380
1	THE COURT: You're excused.
2	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you.
3	THE COURT: Go to the Central Jury Room and they'll
4	give you further instructions.
5	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you.
6	(Prospective juror exits.)
7	THE COURT: Number 43, Mr. Wollman.
8	(Prospective juror enters.)
9	THE COURT: Good evening, Mr. Wollman?
10	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Good evening.
11	THE COURT: You indicated that you have either
12	prepaid vacation plans or confirmed medical appointments that
13	could not be moved over the next two weeks; is that correct?
14	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
15	THE COURT: Tell me about it. You have a medical
16	appointment; is that right?
17	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
18	THE COURT: And that's on March 21st?
19	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
20	THE COURT: How long ago did you schedule that
21	medical appointment?
22	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I want too say a few months
23	ago.

SN RPR OCR

THE COURT: And that is not something that you can

24

25

move?

RPR

OCR

(Prospective juror enters.)

SN

THE COURT: Number 40, Ms. Berkalieva.

24

JURY	SELECTION	382
------	-----------	-----

THE COURT: Good afternoon, Ms. Berkalieva?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Correct.

THE COURT: You did not raise your juror number to any of the questions in the main room.

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was on the fence about a couple of them, so  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{--}}$ 

THE COURT: Do you remember which ones?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. So, it's the do you have any close friends who are lawyers. My best friend's husband is a lawyer. Does that count? He's an intellectual property attorney general.

THE COURT: I mean, is he a close friend of yours?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: We don't really text.

THE COURT: So, that's the measure of closeness whether you text or not?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. And there's another one; can you be unbiased and completely impartial. I was really unsure about that and I thought about it when I got home.

THE COURT: Let me ask you first about the lawyer relationship question. Ultimately that question goes to a couple of things; one, whether you've learned anything about the law through this relationship and, if you have, whether you can set aside what you've learned about the law and judge this case based on the law that's given to you by the

1 presiding judge, Judge Garaufis?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I definitely did not learn about the law from him.

THE COURT: So you can take Judge Garaufis' instructions and apply them to the facts that you find?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, tell me about the other issue.

aside your -- like, I forget what it was. There were a couple about similar sounding can you be truly unbiased and then I'm, like, sure I can and then I got home and how I think messing with elections is very serious and I don't know if I can be unbiased with my preexisting beliefs.

any sort of court case, it's determined by the evidence presented in court and the law that's applied. The jurors are for deciding what the facts are based on the evidence and they have to apply the law that's given by the judge to those facts to determine what the outcome will be, what the verdict will be. In a criminal case it's whether the Government has satisfied its burden to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty and the jurors have to do that; find the facts and accept the law and put aside any -- any sympathies they may have or prejudices or feelings and decide

Case 1:21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 209 of 236 PageID #: 1548 JURY SELECTION 384 1 it based on the evidence and do that fairly and impartially 2 and there are often times when the case involves issues that 3 are -- that people have strong feelings about. 4 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Which I do. 5 THE COURT: The integrity of elections, for example. 6 But the question is not whether you have strong feelings. 7 question is whether you can put aside those feelings and judge 8 the case based on what is presented in court and whether the 9 Government has or has not met its burden of proof. 10 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I mean, yeah, I guess in a 11 perfect world I would be able to do that and I would want to 12 be able to do that. 13 14 (Continued on the following page.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

24

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: The question is do you think you can do 3 that here?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I think I could but I would have strong beliefs about it personally but, again, that's besides the point.

THE COURT: So you do have strong beliefs but can you, but you can put them aside?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. Of course I'm not going to, like, like, impart my beliefs on, like, a person's fate despite the evidence. I would never do that.

THE COURT: Let me ask the question a different way.

If the evidence were presented by the government and you would look at it and say, yes, they didn't meet their burden of proof, the evidence does not prove beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Mackey is guilty, it doesn't, would your strong beliefs in the integrity of elections or anything overcome the government's failure --

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It would not, it would not overcome the proof.

THE COURT: Okay. And the flip side is if the government meets its burden of proof, would you have any hesitancy irrespective of your personal beliefs with finding Mr. Mackey quilty?

> THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

RDR

386

1 THE COURT: Okay. 2 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: There was another question 3 too that I was on the fence about, this is the last one, 4 about, like, opinions about the police and I did not raise my 5 hand about that but I have some beliefs there too. Would 6 they -- to your point, I believe you said I would not impart 7 them, I would not let them, like, sway my decision about which 8 way to vote, but I did not raise my hand for that but I do 9 have strong beliefs about the police. So I don't have any 10 past experiences with the police nor do I have close friends 11 who have personally been, like, victimized by the police, but 12 I do have political opinions about that. 13 THE COURT: But those strong political opinions, 14 beliefs, will not overcome --15 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 16 THE COURT: -- your decision here? THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 17 No. 18 THE COURT: Okay. So the trial is going to start on 19 March 20th. Judge Garaufis moved it. It's going to last, the 20 latest, March 31st. More likely before then, it will end. Is 21 there any reason why this would cause you a genuine hardship? 22 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 23 THE COURT: Have you, a family member or close 24 friend ever been charged with a crime, convicted of a crime, 25 been the subject of a criminal investigation, been a witness

JURY	SELECTION
------	-----------

1 to a crime, been a witness in a grand jury proceeding,

2 questioned by law enforcement or been a victim of a crime? In

3 other words, have you, a family member or a close friend ever

4 been involved in the criminal justice system?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: A couple of friends of mine have been robbed at gunpoint before.

THE COURT: Okay.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know if that counts.

THE COURT: No, that counts. You, family or close friends. Would that affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: Have you, a family member or close friend ever been a party to a legal action or had a dispute against the United States, its agencies or employees?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I don't think so.

THE COURT: Now, this case has received some degree of media attention. Have you heard, read or seen anything about this case on the media or about the defendant Douglass Mackey, otherwise known as Ricky Vaughn?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I did Google it yesterday when I got home.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: What did you see?

СМН

RDR

JUF	RY SELECTIO	N 3	888

1 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: A couple of articles, a Vice

2 article.

5

6

9

10

11

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

3 THE COURT: A Vice article?

4 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Or NBC article. I don't

know. A couple of top hits and then I stopped.

THE COURT: You read them?

7 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I skimmed them. I didn't

8 read them, the details.

THE COURT: Have you formed any ideas, opinions or conclusions about the facts of this case or about Mr. Mackey based on your viewing of those articles yesterday?

12 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Have I formed an opinion?

13 THE COURT: Yes.

14 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: About the case based on

15 those articles? I have.

> THE COURT: And, remember, in a court case, any court case, the only evidence is what is presented in court. It's not what some --

18

19 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

> THE COURT: -- blogger writes about or newspaper person writes about or anything like that. It's what happens. It's what's produced here.

> > THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: So, again, do you have any ideas or opinions or conclusions, have you come to a conclusion about

JURY SELECTION

whether Mr. Mackey is guilty or not based upon reading those articles?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I mean, I guess just answering your question very specifically, like, can I honestly make a, say what I think happened? No, I guess, because it was some articles, but I did read them.

THE COURT: Okay. If you were selected as a juror with the knowledge that you had read these articles, and the government presented evidence and you concluded, based on the evidence, that the government failed to meet its burden of proof, it has not established beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Mackey is guilty, would you have any hesitancy in rendering a verdict of not guilty after having read those articles which are not evidence?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would stick to what was presented in the trial because I would feel guilty about using the information outside of the trial to make a decision. That would not be good.

THE COURT: So are you able to set aside what you read in those articles and judge this case based on the evidence presented in the courtroom?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think so, yeah, I would like to think I could.

MR. FRISCH: Can we approach, Judge?

THE COURT: Yes.

RDR

Case 1:21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 215 of 236 PageID #: 1554 JURY SELECTION 390 1 (The following occurred at sidebar.) 2 MR. FRISCH: Challenge for cause. She said she had 3 opinions. She Googled the story already, saw the headlines 4 and skimmed two stories. When a prospective juror who is not 5 a lawyer and not used to be in federal court is being 6 questioned by a federal magistrate judge, it's difficult, it 7 seems to me, I've never been in that position, but it's 8 difficult to resist and say something which the juror may 9 perceive as being inappropriate or not what the judge, I'll 10 leave it to the word inappropriate. We're arguing she did get 11 an instruction, she Googled, she saw two articles and she said 12 she had opinions. I think that's enough to challenge her for 13 cause. 14 MR. GULLOTTA: We don't object. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 (In open court; sidebar ends.) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

> CMH*OCR* RDR*FCRR*

24

Case 1:	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 216 of 236 PageID #: 1555
	JURY SELECTION 391
1	(In open court; Prospective Jury present.)
2	THE COURT: Ms. Berkalieva, you are excused from
3	serving on this jury. You can report to the Central Jury
4	Room. They'll give you further instructions.
5	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Cool. Thank you.
6	(Prospective juror excused.)
7	THE COURT: Juror Number 42, G L Rivilla-Rojas, no
8	positive responses.
9	(Prospective juror enters.)
10	THE COURT: Good evening, Ms. Rivilla-Rojas.
11	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Good evening.
12	THE COURT: You did not raise your juror number in
13	the main room to any of the questions, correct?
14	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's correct.
15	THE COURT: The trial in the case is going to start
16	on Monday, the 20th, it's been changed slightly, and it will
17	conclude no later than Friday, March 31st, but more likely
18	before then.
19	Is there any reason why this would cause you a
20	genuine hardship were you selected to serve on this jury?
21	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
22	THE COURT: Have you, a family member or close
23	friend ever been charged with a crime, convicted of a crime,
24	been the subject of a criminal investigation, been a witness

to a crime, been a witness in a grand jury investigation or

Case 1:2	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 217 of 236 PageID #: 1556
	JURY SELECTION 392
1	been questioned in any matter by law enforcement officers or
2	been the victim of a crime?
3	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Have you, a family member or
5	close friend ever been a party to a legal action or dispute
6	against the United States or any of its agencies or employees?
7	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
8	THE COURT: This case has received some degree of
9	media attention. Have you read, heard or seen anything in the
10	media about this case or about the defendant Douglass Mackey
11	a/k/a Ricky Vaughn?
12	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not until yesterday, to be
13	honest, but I swiped it.
14	THE COURT: You Googled it or something?
15	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I didn't. I was on my, on
16	my Facebook and it did pop up.
17	THE COURT: It was on your Facebook?
18	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
19	THE COURT: And it popped up in your feed?
20	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It did.

THE COURT: And what was it that popped up?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The jurors were, I mean the jurors were being picked. That's -- but I only read the title

and I swiped. 24

21

22

23

25

THE COURT: Okay. Other than that, have you --

CMHOCRRDR**FCRR** 

1	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Never heard about it.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Seeing that on your Facebook
3	feed, did you form any ideas or opinions or conclusions about
4	the facts of this case or about the defendant Douglass Mackey?
5	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
6	THE COURT: This case involves various individuals
7	including the defendant Douglass Mackey who had strong
8	political preferences during the 2016 Presidential election
9	campaign including individuals who supported President Donald
10	Trump and others who supported Presidential candidate Hillary
11	Clinton.
12	Do you have strong feelings or opinions related to
13	the 2016 Presidential election campaign or to the two
14	candidates during that campaign such that it would overcome
15	your duty to judge this case fairly and impartially?
16	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. Honestly, I didn't even
17	vote.
18	THE COURT: Honestly, you what?
19	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Didn't even vote.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any experience with
21	what you perceived to be deliberately false or misleading
22	information on the internet or on social media?
23	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Pretty much I don't believe
24	much of social media. I don't go by anything on social media.
25	And news, I, very sparely. A news person, to watch news every

JURY SELECTION 394 1 day? No. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Would the fact that you don't 3 believe a lot of what's on the internet or social media, would 4 that affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this 5 case? 6 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 7 THE COURT: At trial, you may hear some language 8 that you may find to be offensive. You should not assess that 9 evidence based on whether you find it to be offensive or not 10 but, rather, based on whether that evidence tends to prove or 11 disprove the elements of the crime that's been charged. 12 If you found some of the evidence to be offensive, 13 would you be able to put aside your feelings about its 14 offensiveness? 15 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 16 THE COURT: And judge this case fairly and 17 impartially? 18 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 19 THE COURT: You live, Ms. Rivilla-Rojas, in 20 Brooklyn, correct? 21 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's correct. 22 THE COURT: How long have you lived in Brooklyn? 23 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thirty-two years. 24 THE COURT: Do you own or do you rent? 25 THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I rent.

	JURY SELECTION 395
1	THE COURT: Do you live with anyone?
2	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Who do you live with?
4	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My husband.
5	THE COURT: What does your husband do for a living?
6	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He's a school bus driver.
7	THE COURT: Do you have any kids?
8	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
9	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
10	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work for 1199. I'm a data
11	analyst.
12	THE COURT: For Local 1199?
13	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
14	THE COURT: What's the highest level of education
15	you completed?
16	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: College.
17	THE COURT: Bachelor's degree or Associate's?
18	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Associate's.
19	THE COURT: Have you ever served in the military?
20	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
21	THE COURT: What branch?
22	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Navy.
23	THE COURT: How long?
24	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Three years. I served two
25	years and my dismissal was in 1999.

CMH OCR RDR FCRR

Case 1:2	1-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 221 of 236 PageID #: 1560
	JURY SELECTION 396
1	THE COURT: You told us that you don't watch much
2	news but when you do watch news, where do you get it from,
3	newspapers, radio, television, internet?
4	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Television.
5	THE COURT: Television?
6	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Are there any particular television news
8	stations that you watch?
9	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: New York 1.
10	THE COURT: Do you use the internet and social
11	media?
12	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I do.
13	THE COURT: What platforms?
14	THE DEFENDANT: I use Google.
15	THE COURT: You told us Facebook already.
16	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I have Instagram also.
17	I use Google.
18	THE COURT: How frequently are you on your Instagram
19	account, Facebook, Google?
20	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Google, pretty much every
21	day, but Instagram, every two or three days if I get a message
22	or something. I'm not there every day.
23	THE COURT: Are you concerned at all about the
24	reliability of the information that you find on the internet

СМН OCR RDRFCRR

and social media being that you don't believe most of what you

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: -- station? Mega.

24

*FCRR* 

THE COURT: Any particular radio --

Jase 1.2	JURY SELECTION 398
1	THE COURT: Is there any reason why you cannot be
2	fair and impartial in this case?
3	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
4	THE COURT: Is there anything about this case that
5	would cause you to favor one side over the other?
6	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
7	THE COURT: Will you be able to set aside any
8	sympathies or biases you may have for any of the parties in
9	this case and render an impartial verdict based solely on the
10	evidence presented in court and the law as given to you by the
11	judge?
12	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Any followup for Ms. Rivilla-Rojas?
14	MR. GULLOTTA: No thank you, Your Honor.
15	MR. FRISCH: No thank you.
16	THE COURT: Ms. Rivilla-Rojas, you've made it
17	through this point successfully.
18	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.
19	THE COURT: I'm going to ask you to go home, go
20	wherever you want if you've got plans this evening, and come
21	back tomorrow at 2:00 p.m.
22	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.
23	THE COURT: Don't
24	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Discuss?
25	THE COURT: Google this case

ıse 1:2	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 104 Filed 03/21/23 Page 224 of 236 PageID #: 1563
	JURY SELECTION 399
1	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
2	THE COURT: or Mr. Mackey. If you see anything
3	more on your Facebook feed, ignore it. If you see anything on
4	TV, hear anything on the radio and the newspapers, whatever,
5	ignore it. Don't watch it. Don't listen to it. Don't read
6	it.
7	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No problem.
8	THE COURT: If anyone contacts you about the case,
9	let me know tomorrow.

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sure.

THE COURT: Don't talk about the case with anyone. Just tell them, if they ask, or your husband asks you, "I've been selected to" or "I'm in the selection process to serve on a criminal case." Don't get involved in any discussions about the case.

If you see the lawyers outside tomorrow in the hall or Mr. Mackey, they're going to not speak with you and pretty much you'll think that they're ignoring you. It's not that they are. It's that they see you and they're not supposed to interact with you outside of the courtroom. Okay? And so you don't talk to them even. All right?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Understood.

THE COURT: Thank you so much. See you tomorrow.

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you. Have a good

night.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	JURY SELECTION 400
1	(Prospective juror exits.)
2	THE COURT: Can we get through two more tonight, you
3	think?
4	You're done?
5	MR. FRISCH: Yes.
6	THE COURT: I hate to do it to them.
7	MR. PAULSEN: We're fine to go as long as you want.
8	MR. FRISCH: Actually, what I was thinking was you
9	told them 5:30 and I fear for your safety.
10	THE COURT: Do me a favor. Ask them if they want to
11	continue through, it will take us about another 20 minutes,
12	or whether they want to go home and come back tomorrow morning
13	at 9 o'clock.
14	I told them 9 o'clock. I told the jurors 9 o'clock.
15	(Pause.)
16	THE COURT: They want to stay.
17	Let's bring in John Thomas, Number 44.
18	(Prospective juror enters.)
19	THE COURT: Good evening, Mr. John excuse me
20	Mr. Thomas.
21	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
22	THE COURT: You indicated that you have difficulty
23	reading or understanding English.
24	THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Reading, it's okay, but I
25	can't speak not well.

CMH OCR RDR FCRR

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: Good. And do they live with you?

24

23 (Continued on next page.)

24

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My father was part of a

THE COURT: Tell me about that, please.

24

individuals, including Mr. Vaughn -- excuse me, Mr. Mackey,

presidential election campaign, including individuals who supported President Donald Trump and individuals who presidential and candidate Hillary Clinton.

Do you have any strong feelings or opinions related to the 2016 presidential election campaign or to those two candidates such that it would overcome your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

2.3

THE COURT: Do you have any experience with what you perceive to be deliberately false or misleading information on the internet or in social media?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: At trial, you may hear some language that you may find to be offensive. You should not assess the evidence you hear based on whether you find it to be offensive or not, but rather whether it tends to prove or disprove the elements of the crime.

If you found some of the evidence to be offensive, would you be able to set aside your feelings about its offensiveness and objectively evaluate it fairly and impartially?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: You live in Brooklyn?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I do.

THE COURT: How frequently are you on it?

THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's a pretty new thing.

24